arXiv:0904.0629v4 [hep-th] 12 Nov 2009

Beta, Dipole and Noncommutative Deformations of M-theory Backgrounds with One or More Parameters

Aybike Çatal-Özer[1] and Nihat Sadik Deger[2,3]

[1] Dept. of Mathematics, Istanbul Technical University, Maslak, 34469, Istanbul-Turkey
[2] Dept. of Mathematics, Bogazici University, Bebek, 34342, Istanbul-Turkey
[3] Feza Gursey Institute, Cengelkoy, 34680, Istanbul-Turkey

E-mails: ozerayb@itu.edu.tr, sadik.deger@boun.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

We construct new M-theory solutions starting from those that contain 5 $U(1)$ isometries. We do this by reducing along one of the 5-torus directions, then T-dualizing via the action of an $O(4,4)$ matrix and lifting back to 11-dimensions. The particular T-duality transformation is a sequence of $O(2,2)$ transformations embedded in $O(4,4)$, where the action of each $O(2,2)$ gives a Lunin-Maldacena deformation in 10-dimensions. We find general formulas for the metric and 4-form field of single and multiparameter deformed solutions, when the 4-form of the initial 11-dimensional background has at most one leg along the 5-torus. All the deformation terms in the new solutions are given in terms of subdeterminants of a 5×5 matrix, which represents the metric on the 5-torus. We apply these results to several M-theory backgrounds of the type $AdS_r \times X^{11-r}$. By appropriate choices of the T-duality and reduction directions we obtain analogues of beta, dipole and noncommutative deformations. We also provide formulas for backgrounds with only 3 or 4 $U(1)$ isometries and study a case, for which our assumption for the 4-form field is violated.

Keywords: AdS-CFT Correspondence, M-theory, String Duality

PACS: 11.25.Yb, 11.25.Tq

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 One Parameter Deformations **4**

2.1 Example 1: $AdS_4 \times$ (Sasaki-Einstein)$_7$ (with base CP^2) 10

2.1.1 β Deformations 10

2.1.2 Dipole Deformations 11

2.2 Example 2: $AdS_7 \times S^4$ 12

2.2.1 Noncommutative Deformations 12

2.2.2 Type I Dipole Deformations 13

2.3 An Exceptional Case: Type II Dipole Deformations of $AdS_7 \times S^4$ 14

3 Multiparameter Deformations **18**

3.1 Example: $AdS_4 \times (\mathrm{Sasaki-Einstein})_7$ (with base $S^2 \times S^2$) 20

3.1.1 β Deformations 21

3.1.2 Dipole Deformations 22

3.1.3 Mixed Deformations 23

4 Conclusions and Discussions **24**

A Proof I **26**

B Proof II **27**

C Subdeterminants **28**

1 Introduction

Construction of new M-theory solutions has been an important area of research for a long time. The goal of this paper is to generate new M-theory solutions by deforming those that involve five $U(1)$ isometries. Our method is a generalization of the Lunin-Maldacena procedure [1], which gives the string theory duals of β deformations of certain field theories.

β deformations can be applied to $U(N)$ field theories with a $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry. An example is the Leigh-Strassler deformation [2] of the $N = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory, which breaks the supersymmetry to $N = 1$. The global $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry of the field theory corresponds to a 2-torus in the dual gravity picture. A string theory background with a 2-torus in its geometry possesses an $O(2, 2)$ T-duality symmetry. Strictly speaking, the T-duality symmetry of the string theory background is $O(2, 2, Z)$, which transforms the conformal field theory on the string world-sheet to an equivalent one. On the other hand, the corresponding supergravity theory has an $O(2,2,R)$ solution generating symmetry, which transforms the conformal field theory on the world-sheet to an exactly marginal deformation of it (see [3] for a review). There is the well-known isomorphism $SO(2,2,R) \simeq SL(2,R)_\tau \times SL(2,R)_\rho$, where the first factor acts on the complex structure modulus and the second factor acts on the Kähler modulus of the 2-torus. Lunin and Maldacena (LM) [1] used the latter to generate new Type IIB solutions and showed that these solutions correspond to the β deformation of the field theory duals of the initial gravity solution, when the 2-torus lies in the geometry in a certain way. Later in [4] the $O(2,2)$ matrix whose action generates the deformed solutions was identified by considering the way $SL(2,R)_\rho$ sits in $O(2,2,R)$. The method of LM works for any 2-torus that lies in the background geometry and by different choices one can obtain the duals of noncommutative and dipole deformations, as well. Therefore, this procedure provides a unified framework for studying different types of deformations. In this paper, we refer to any of these as a LM deformation.

This idea was generalized to construct new M-theory solutions by deforming M-theory backgrounds which involve a $U(1)^3$ isometry in their geometry [1]. To do this, one can reduce along one of the coordinates of the 3-torus to obtain a Type IIA solution, use the $SL(2,R)_\rho$ symmetry associated with the remaining two legs to generate a new solution in ten dimensions and lift back to eleven dimensions. The first eleven dimensional example had already appeared in [1], where a β deformation of the $AdS_4 \times S^7$ solution was obtained by using a 3-torus lying completely in S^7. Later, β deformations of backgrounds of the type $AdS_4 \times M_7$, where M_7 is a 7-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein manifold [5]-[11] were performed in [12] and [13]. Deformations of the membrane [14] and five-brane [15] solutions of the D=11 supergravity [16] and their near horizon geometries were obtained in [17], where in addition to the β deformations, dipole and noncommutative deformations were considered, as well. Recently, β deformations of $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$ were studied in [18]. All these are single parameter deformations. All the backgrounds that have been considered so far have a common feature: they all involve more than three (in fact, five) $U(1)$ isometries, allowing a generalization of the LM procedure. In this paper, we study this generalization, and hence construct new deformations involving one and more parameters. Multiparameter deformations of ten dimensional string backgrounds were first studied in [19].

Our method will be as follows. For a general eleven dimensional background with $n \geq 3$ $U(1)$ isometries, we start by reducing along one of the legs of the n-torus associated with these, thereby obtaining a IIA solution with an $O(n-1,n-1)$ solution generating symmetry. We deform this solution through the action of an $O(n-1,n-1)$ matrix and lift back to eleven dimensions. Here, a crucial (but not restrictive for the examples that are of interest to us) assumption is that this n-torus should be decoupled from the rest of the geometry. The particular T-duality transformation we use is a sequence of $O(2,2)$ transformations embedded in $O(n-1,n-1)$, where the action of each $O(2,2)$ gives a LM deformation. Our procedure allows up to $n!/6(n-3)!$ parameters, which corresponds to the number of ways one can choose 3-dimensional subtori from the n-torus. When we present our method in the next section, we choose $n=5$, and show that the deformed solutions are of a universal form and all the terms depending on the deformation parameter can be written in terms of subdeterminants of a 5×5 matrix, representing the metric on the 5-torus. The choice $n=5$ is preferred basically for two reasons. Firstly, all the examples that are of interest to us have 5 $U(1)$ isometries. Secondly, the general formulas obtained in this case also includes the $n=3$ and $n=4$ cases, after an additional assumption.

A one-parameter deformation obtained with our method (which is the only possibility when $n=3$) corresponds to a choice of a 3-dimensional subtorus of the 5-torus in the geometry and gives an ordinary LM deformation in 11 dimensions. However, even in this simplest case, our method has the virtue of providing general formulas, which make the calculations much easier.

The real novelty arises when $n > 3$, which allows the introduction of more than one deformation parameters. We illustrate our method through several examples, all of which are of the form $AdS_r \times X^{11-r}$ motivated, of course by the AdS/CFT correspondence [20, 21, 22]. Choosing the 3-torus to lie completely in the compact part, completely in the noncompact part or partly in the compact and partly in the noncompact part of the geometry correspond to the analogues of the duals of β, noncommutative and dipole deformations in string theory, respectively. We also introduce "mixed deformations", which are multiparameter deformations involving several 3-tori, where each 3-torus gives rise to a different type of deformation.

The organization of our paper is as follows. In the next section we describe our method for the simpler case of one parameter deformations. We start by imposing rather mild conditions on the 4-form and the metric of a given 11 dimensional background and derive general formulas for the deformed 4-form (25) and the metric (29). Then we apply these to the backgrounds $AdS_4\times$ (Sasaki-Einstein)$_7$ (with base CP^2) [6] and $AdS_7 \times S^4$ in subsections 2.1 and 2.2. In subsection 2.3 we explain, through the $AdS_7 \times S^4$ example, how our method should be modified, when our assumption for the 4-form does not hold. In section 3, we generalize our discussion to the multiparameter case. After giving general formulas for the deformed metric (92) and the 4-form (95), we obtain the 3-parameter β, dipole and mixed deformations of the $AdS_4\times$ (Sasaki-Einstein)$_7$ (with base $S^2 \times S^2$) solution [6]. We conclude with some comments and future directions in section 4. Appendices contain proofs of two equations which are used in deriving the general formulas and necessary subdeterminants for section 3.

2 One Parameter Deformations

In this section we obtain the 1-parameter LM deformation of a general eleven dimensional background with three or more isometries. Before we start, let us fix our notation. Throughout the paper the hatted fields refer to eleven dimensional fields, whereas fields without hats are in ten dimensions. We use tilde for fields after deformation in ten or eleven dimensions. Our index conventions are such that (unless otherwise indicated) M, N run from 1 to 11, the indices m, n, p, q, r count the five isometry directions, running from 1 to 5, whereas μ, ν count the remaining coordinates from 6 to 11. We also have that $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. For a general matrix A, $A(i \mid j)$ denotes the matrix obtained from A by deleting the ith column and jth row. Similarly, $A(a, b \mid i, j)$ is the matrix obtained from A by deleting columns a, b and rows i, j. Note that when A is symmetric $detA(i \mid j) = detA(j \mid i)$ and $detA(a, b \mid i, j) = detA(i, j \mid a, b)$.

Before focusing on eleven dimensional backgrounds, let us review the LM deformations in ten dimensions, where our approach will be that of [4]. LM deformations can be applied to backgrounds with two $U(1)$ isometries. Let us label the coordinates such that these isometries have Killing vectors $\partial/\partial x^1$, $\partial/\partial x^2$. Suppose that x^1 and/or x^2 couple to $d-2$ other coordinates, which we label as $x^3, \cdots, x^d$. Then the deformed solution can be expressed in a simple way using the so called background matrix defined as:

$$E = g_{ij} + B_{ij}\,, \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, d \tag{1}$$

where g and B are the matrices with entries g_{ij}, and B_{ij}. Here, g_{ij} and B_{ij} are the components of the metric and the B-field of the background, respectively. It was shown in [4] that the deformed solutions can be obtained via the action of the following $O(d,d)$ matrix:

$$T_d = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_d & 0_d \\ \Gamma_d & 1_d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where 1_d and 0_d are the $d \times d$ identity and null matrices, respectively and Γ_d is the $d \times d$ matrix of the form

$$\Gamma_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

γ is a real constant. Here, the solution generating symmetry is $O(2,2)$. However, the associated T-duality matrix T^2 has to be embedded in $O(d,d)$, due to the coupling of x^1 and/or x^2 with the coordinates $x^3, \cdots, x^d$ [23].

The transformation of the background matrix and the dilaton under the action of the above $O(d,d)$ transformation is [23]:

$$e^{2\phi} \longrightarrow e^{2\tilde{\phi}} = \frac{e^{2\phi}}{\det(\Gamma_d E + 1_d)}, \tag{4}$$

$$E \longrightarrow \tilde{E} = (aE+b)(cE+d)^{-1} = E(\Gamma_d E + 1_d)^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

The other g and B components of the background do not get any additive γ corrections.

The Ramond-Ramond fields transform in the spinorial representation of $O(d,d)$. One can show that their transformation under the particular $O(d,d)$ element (2) can be found through the action of the operator (see [24, 25, 26, 27] for details)

$$\mathbf{T} = \exp[\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_d)_{mn} i_m i_n], \tag{6}$$

where i_m is contraction with respect to the isometry direction $\partial/\partial x^m$, $i_m \equiv i_{\partial/\partial x^m}$. The operator $\mathbf{T}$ acts on F, which is defined as

$$F \equiv e^{-B} \sum_{p=1}^{9} F_p, \tag{7}$$

where F_p's are the p-form field strengths with p even in type IIA and odd in type IIB theory. F_p for $p > 5$ is defined via its Hodge dual as $F_{10-p} = (-1)^{[\frac{p-1}{2}]} * F_p$, where $[\frac{p-1}{2}]$ is the first integer greater than or equal to $\frac{p-1}{2}$ [28]. Using (6) and (3) we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^{9} \tilde{F}_p \wedge e^{-\tilde{B}} = (1 - \gamma i_1 i_2)(\sum_{p=1}^{9} F_p \wedge e^{-B}), \tag{8}$$

where $\tilde{F}_p$ and $\tilde{B}$ are fields after the deformation. Then it follows that the transformation rule for the 4-form and 2-form fields F_4 and F_2 in Type IIA theory are [18, 4]:

$$F_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{F}_2 = F_2 - \gamma i_1 i_2 (F_4 - F_2 \wedge B) \tag{9}$$

$$F_4 \longrightarrow \tilde{F}_4 = F_4 - F_2 \wedge B - \gamma i_1 i_2 (F_6 - F_4 \wedge B + \frac{1}{2} F_2 \wedge B \wedge B) + \tilde{F}_2 \wedge \tilde{B}.$$

After this brief review of the method used in [4] to obtain the LM deformations, let us describe our method to deform a given eleven dimensional background with three or more commuting isometries. We start by singling out a 3-torus associated with three of these isometries. Then we reduce to ten dimensions along one of the directions of the 3-torus. We obtain a new solution in ten dimensions by the action of the T-duality matrix (2), corresponding to the remaining two isometries. Lifting back to eleven dimensions, we obtain the deformed M-theory backgrounds. We make the following two assumptions on the given 11-dimensional solution:

(*i*) Its metric contains $n \geq 3$ commuting isometries, which decouple from other coordinates.

(*ii*) Its 4-form field strength has at most one leg along these n directions.

We start by taking $n = 5$ for convenience, as this will allow us to discuss different types of deformations simultaneously. Moreover, this is not a strong restriction, since many widely studied M-theory backgrounds have this property, as we will see. However, let us remark that we don't need all these 5 directions to correspond to $U(1)$ isometries, only 3 will be enough to obtain a single parameter deformation with our method. The remaining two can be any directions that couple to the deformation 3-torus in the metric. The cases in which there are no such couplings or the background does not contain five $U(1)$ isometries will be considered at the end of this section. The second assumption above is required in order to give general formulas for the deformation of the 4-form field, as we explain below. Our formulas will be valid for *any* given eleven dimensional background meeting these two conditions. We will discuss how our method works, when the second assumption is violated through an example in subsection 2.3.

The standard ansatz for reducing a given $D = 11$ background to 10 dimensions is

$$ds^2_{11} = \hat{g}_{MN} dx^M dx^N = e^{-2/3\phi} ds^2_{10\ s} + e^{4/3\phi}(dz + A)^2 \qquad M, N = 1, \cdots, 11 \tag{10}$$

Here z is the coordinate along which we are reducing, A is a one-form and ϕ is the dilaton. The subscript s refers to the fact that the ten dimensional metric is in the string frame.

We label the five $U(1)$ coordinates as $x^1, \cdots, x^5$. Our first assumption implies that the initial eleven dimensional metrics will be such that $\hat{g}_{m\mu} = 0$ for all $m = 1, \cdots, 5 \quad \mu = 6, \cdots, 11$, that is, these 5 isometry directions in the geometry is separated from the transverse part to it. Then, choosing one of the isometry coordinates as the coordinate z along which we reduce, the eleven dimensional metric using the reduction ansatz (10) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{11} &= \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \hat{g}_{mn} dx^m dx^n , \\ &= e^{-2/3\phi}(g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j) + e^{4/3\phi}(dz + A_i(x^\mu) dx^i)^2 , \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $m, n = 1, \cdots, 5, \ \ \mu, \nu = 6, \cdots, 11, \ \ i, j = 1, \cdots, 4$. Note that we have labeled $x^5 \equiv z$. One can easily see that the fields with and without hats are related as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &= e^{2/3\phi}\ \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{\hat{g}_{zz}}\ \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \\ g_{ij} &= e^{2/3\phi} \hat{g}_{ij} = \hat{g}_{zz}^{-1/2}(\hat{g}_{ij}\hat{g}_{zz} - \hat{g}_{iz}\hat{g}_{jz}), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where we have used $e^{4/3\phi} = \hat{g}_{zz}$ and $A_i = \hat{g}_{iz}/\hat{g}_{zz}$.

The ansatz for the reduction of the 3-form field $\hat{C}_3$ in eleven dimensions is as follows

$$\hat{C}_3(x^\mu, z) = C_3(x^\mu) + B(x^\mu) \wedge dz. \tag{13}$$

Differentiating both sides we find the ansatz for the reduction of the eleven dimensional 4-form field strength $\hat{F}_4 = d\hat{C}_3$. A convenient way of writing it is (see e.g. [29])

$$\hat{F}_4 = F_4 + F_3 \wedge (dz + A), \tag{14}$$

where

$$F_4 = dC_3 - dB \wedge A, \qquad F_3 = dB. \tag{15}$$

After dimensional reduction to $D = 10$, the next step is to perform the deformation along two of the isometry coordinates which we label as x^1 and x^2 as above. Then the 3-torus that we use to generate a new solution has coordinates $\{x^1, x^2, x^5\}$. Because of our first assumption we have $g_{\mu i} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, 4$ and $\mu = 6, \cdots, 11$. Moreover, looking at the ansatz (15) we see that our assumption on $\hat{F}_4$ implies that $B_{ij} = B_{i\mu} = 0$. Thus we have $d = 4$ in (2). [1] Also note that background matrix (1) E symmetric, that is $E = g_{ij}$. From (5), (2), (3) and (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu = 6, \cdots, 11 \\
\tilde{g}_{\mu i} &= g_{\mu i} = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\
\tilde{g}_{wi} &= G g_{wi}, \qquad w = 1, 2 \\
\tilde{g}_{34} &= G g_{34} + G\gamma^2 detg(3 \mid 4) \\
\tilde{g}_{33} &= G g_{33} + G\gamma^2 detg(4 \mid 4) \\
\tilde{g}_{44} &= G g_{44} + G\gamma^2 detg(3 \mid 3)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &= B_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu = 6, \cdots, 11 \\
\tilde{B}_{\mu i} &= B_{\mu i} = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\
\tilde{B}_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} G\gamma\epsilon^{ij} \sum_{k,l \neq i,j;\ k \neq l} detg(k, l \mid 3, 4), \quad i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$e^{2\tilde{\phi}} = G e^{2\phi} \tag{18}$$

where we have defined

$$G = \det(\Gamma_d g + 1_d)^{-1} = [1 + \gamma^2 detg(3, 4 \mid 3, 4)]^{-1}. \tag{19}$$

Here g is the 4×4 matrix with entries g_{ij} and our convention for the B-field is such that

$$B = \frac{1}{2} B_{IJ} dx^I \wedge dx^J\,, \qquad I, J = 1, ..., 10\,, \tag{20}$$

and ϵ^{IJ} is an antisymmetric tensor with $\epsilon^{IJ} = 1$ for $I < J$. As can be seen from (13), the lifting of $\tilde{B}_{IJ}$ to $D = 11$ brings the contribution $\tilde{B} \wedge dz$ to the 3-form field in the deformed $D = 11$ background, which is linear in the deformation parameter γ. An extra contribution comes from the lifting of the deformed 3-form field C_3 in $D = 10$. Remember that because of our condition on $\hat{F}_4$ we have $B_{ij} = B_{i\mu} = 0$. Also note that $F_2 = dA = d(A_i(x^\mu)dx^i)$ can have at most one component along the isometry coordinates. Then all contraction terms in (9) are zero except the $\gamma i_1 i_2 F_6 = \gamma i_1 i_2 \star_{10} F_4$ term. Thus,

$$\tilde{F}_2 = F_2 \tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{F}_4 = F_4 - F_2 \wedge B - \gamma i_1 i_2 \star_{10} F_4 + F_2 \wedge \tilde{B} = F_4 - \gamma i_1 i_2 \star_{10} F_4 + F_2 \wedge \tilde{B}^{||} \tag{22}$$

where in the last line we have decomposed the deformed B-field into its part $\tilde{B}^{||}$ along the isometry directions and the part $\tilde{B}^{\perp}$ transverse to the isometry directions

$$\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}^{||} + \tilde{B}^{\perp} = \tilde{B}^{||} + B\,. \tag{23}$$

---

[1] It might happen that one or two of the remaining isometries $\{x^3, x^4\}$ have no couplings with x^1 and x^2, in which case it would be enough to choose $d = 2$ or $d = 3$, respectively. However, we prefer to consider the most general case with $d = 4$, as the others can be studied within this formalism.

Substituting $\tilde{F}_4$ and $\tilde{B}$ in (14), we find the deformed 4-form field $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_4$ in eleven dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tilde{F}}_4 &= F_4 - \gamma i_1 i_2 \star_{10} F_4 + F_2 \wedge \tilde{B}^{||} + d(\tilde{B}^{||} + B) \wedge (dz + \tilde{A}) \\ &= \hat{F}_4 - \gamma i_1 i_2 \star_{10} F_4 + d[\tilde{B}^{||} \wedge (dz + A)], \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where the Hodge star is taken in ten dimensions with respect to the undeformed metric. We also use the fact that $\tilde{A} = A$, as it follows from (21). This formula can be written in terms of the eleven dimensional fields as (see Appendix A for the proof):

$$\tilde{\tilde{F}}_4 = \hat{F}_4 - \gamma i_1 i_2 i_z \star_{11} \hat{F}_4 + \frac{\gamma}{2} d\left(G \sum_{q,r \neq m,n,p;\ q \neq r} det\hat{g}(q,r \mid 3,4) \frac{\epsilon^{mnp} dx_m \wedge dx_n \wedge dx_p}{3!} \right), \tag{25}$$

where $m,n,p,q,r \in \{1,2,3,4,z=5\}$ and $\hat{g}$ is the 5×5 torus-matrix with entries $\hat{g}_{mn}$ and we used $\{x^1, x^2, x^5\}$ directions for the deformation. The Hodge dual $\star_{11}$ is taken in the 11-dimensional space, with respect to the undeformed metric. Also $\epsilon^{mnp} = 1$ when $m < n < p$ and it is totally antisymmetric in its indices.

Now in order to lift the ten dimensional deformed metric back to eleven dimensions we have to substitute (16) in the reduction ansatz (10). As a result we have

$$\tilde{ds}^2_{11} = e^{-2/3\tilde{\phi}} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{4/3\tilde{\phi}} (dz + A)^2, \tag{26}$$

where we have used $\tilde{A} = A$. Using (18) and (16) together with (11), (12) and the fact that $e^{4/3\phi} = \hat{g}_{zz}$, we can write the deformed eleven dimensional metric (26) as:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{ds}^2_{11} &= G^{-1/3} e^{-2/3\phi} [G g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + G\gamma^2 (detg(4 \mid 4) dx^3 dx^3 \\ &\quad + detg(3 \mid 4) dx^3 dx^4 + detg(3 \mid 3) dx^4 dx^4)] + G^{2/3} e^{4/3\phi} (dz + A)^2 \\ &= G^{-1/3} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + G^{2/3} \hat{g}_{mn} dx^m dx^n \\ &\quad + G^{2/3} \gamma^2 \hat{g}_{zz}^{-1/2} [detg(4 \mid 4) dx^3 dx^3 + detg(3 \mid 4) dx^3 dx^4 + detg(3 \mid 3) dx^4 dx^4], \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Now using the following facts (see Appendix B for the proof)

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{g}_{zz}^{-1/2} [detg(i \mid j)] = det\hat{g}(i \mid j), \\ &detg(i,j \mid k,l) = det\hat{g}(i,j \mid k,l), \quad i,j = 1,2,3,4 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

we can write the metric in (27) completely in terms of the eleven dimensional fields:

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2_{11} &= G^{-1/3} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + G^{2/3} \hat{g}_{mn} dx^m dx^n \\ &\quad + G^{2/3} \gamma^2 [det\hat{g}(4 \mid 4) dx^3 dx^3 + det\hat{g}(3 \mid 4) dx^3 dx^4 + det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) dx^4 dx^4]. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Using (28) in (19), G can be expressed as

$$G = [1 + \gamma^2 det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4)]^{-1}\,. \tag{30}$$

As we see, our new solution is expressed in terms of the original one and subdeterminants of the torus matrix $\hat{g}$. Hence, there is no need anymore to refer to $D = 10$ or details of the derivation. Note that we have additive correction terms to ds^2_{11} only along the isometry coordinates, which are not involved in the deformation process namely along x^3 and x^4. Also the correction terms $det\hat{g}(r \mid s), r, s = 3, 4$ are invariant under the relabeling of the indices $\{1, 2, 5\}$. If we interchange the indices $1 \leftrightarrow 2,\ 1 \leftrightarrow 5$, or $2 \leftrightarrow 5$ in the matrix $\hat{g}(r \mid s)$, the resulting matrix will have the same determinant as one can pass from one to the other by equal number of row and column interchanges. It is also easy to see that the correction terms coming to the deformed 4-form field $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ in (25) are invariant under the relabeling of the indices $1 \leftrightarrow 2,\ 1 \leftrightarrow 5$, or $2 \leftrightarrow 5$.[2] Consequently, once we fix the deformation 3-torus with coordinates $\{x^1, x^2, z = x^5\}$, it does not make a difference as to how we choose the reduction and the T-duality coordinates; the resulting deformed metric $d\tilde{s}_{11}^2$ and the deformed 4-form field $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ will always be the same.

In deriving our main equations (25), (29) and (30), we assumed the existence of five $U(1)$ isometries. However, let us emphasize that these formulas are also valid if x^3 and x^4 are not $U(1)$ directions but just some coordinates that mix with the deformation 3-torus $\{x^1, x^2, x^5\}$ in the metric. If there are no such couplings or if the the original background has only three or four $U(1)$ isometries, then our formulas can easily be modified. The case when the background has only four commuting isometries that decouples from the rest can be regarded as a special case for our general method, in which x^4 (or x^3) does not mix with the remaining isometry coordinates. When x^4 does not mix, we have $det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) = \hat{g}_{44} det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4)$, as a result of which the coefficient of $dx^4 dx^4$ in the metric (29) becomes $G^{-1/3}\hat{g}_{44}$. Also $det\hat{g}(3 \mid 4)$ vanishes, so that the only additional term in the deformed metric is to the term $dx^3 dx^3$. This happens frequently in the examples below, for instance in β deformations when x^4 is the AdS_4 isometry direction. Now the general formulas become:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \hat{F}_4 - \gamma i_1 i_2 i_z \star_{11} \hat{F}_4 + \gamma d \left(G \sum_{q \neq m,n,p} det\hat{g}(q \mid 3) \frac{\epsilon^{mnp} dx_m \wedge dx_n \wedge dx_p}{3!} \right), \\ d\tilde{s}_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + G^{2/3}\hat{g}_{mn} dx^m dx^n + G^{2/3}\gamma^2 det\hat{g}\, dx^3 dx^3 \,, \\ G &= [1 + \gamma^2 det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3)]^{-1} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where $\hat{g}$ is the 4×4 matrix with entries $\hat{g}_{mn},\ m,n = \{1,2,3,5\}$. Here x^3 is not necessarily a $U(1)$ direction, and if so this would be the result with $n = 3$ where the deformation 3-torus directions have couplings with x^3. Similarly, backgrounds with 3 commuting decoupled isometries can be regarded as a special case, for which both x^3 and x^4 do not mix with the 3 remaining isometry coordinates. Let $\hat{g}$ denote the 3×3 torus matrix that corresponds to the remaining $U(1)$ directions $\{x^1, x^2, x^5\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \hat{F}_4 - \gamma i_1 i_2 i_z \star_{11} \hat{F}_4 + \gamma d \left(G det\hat{g}\, dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_5 \right), \\ d\tilde{s}_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + G^{2/3}\hat{g}_{mn} dx^m dx^n \,, \\ G &= [1 + \gamma^2 det\hat{g}]^{-1} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $m, n = \{1, 2, 5\}$.

Now we apply our results to some examples. In some of them (such as the one in the next subsection) one or two of the unused isometry directions $\{x^3, x^4\}$ have no coupling with the deformation directions $\{x^1, x^2, x^5\}$. As we have just explained above, in such cases it is possible to work with 4×4 or 3×3 torus matrices. However, to make our presentation more coherent we will always take the background matrices as 5×5.

---

[2] More precisely, $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ will remain the same under cyclic permutations of these three coordinates but γ terms will pick up an overall -1 sign otherwise. However, this sign change can be eliminated by changing the orientation of the 5-torus or sending $\gamma \to -\gamma$.

2.1 Example 1: $AdS_4 \times$ (Sasaki-Einstein)$_7$ (with base CP^2)

In this subsection we will consider the β and dipole deformations of the background

$$AdS_4 \times Y_7$$

where Y_7 is the seven dimensional Sasaki-Einstein space found recently by [6] with base CP^2. Although, its β deformation was already obtained in [13], we will begin with that example to illustrate our method.

For this background our 11-dimensional metric and the 4-form field are

$$ds^2_{11} = ds^2_{AdS_4} + ds^2_{Y_7}\,, \qquad \hat{F}_4 = 6\, vol(AdS_4) \tag{33}$$

where AdS_4 and Y_7 metrics are given after suitable scalings as

$$ds^2_{AdS_4} = -(1+r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2(d\chi_1^2 + \sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2)\ , \tag{34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{Y_7} &= U^{-1}d\rho^2 + 3\rho^2\left(\mu_1^2 d\phi_1^2 + \mu_2^2 d\phi_2^2 - [\mu_1^2 d\phi_1 + \mu_2^2 d\phi_2]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} d\mu_i^2\right) \\ &\quad + q(d\psi + j_1)^2 + \omega[d\alpha + f(d\psi + j_1)]^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Here U, q, ω, f are some functions of ρ (for details see [13]), $j_1 = 3(\mu_1^2 d\phi_1 + \mu_2^2 d\phi_2)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i^2 = 1$. The $U(1)$ isometries of this background correspond to Killing vectors $(\partial_{\phi_1}, \partial_{\phi_2}, \partial_{\chi_2}, \partial_\alpha, \partial_\psi)$, where the last one is the R-symmetry direction.[3]

2.1.1 β Deformations

Let us label the 5-torus directions $(\partial_{\phi_1}, \partial_{\phi_2}, \partial_\psi, \partial_{\chi_2}, \partial_\alpha)$ as $\{x^1, ..., x^5\}$ respectively. That means that we choose our 3-torus for the deformation as (ϕ_1, ϕ_2, α) and therefore avoid using the R-symmetry ∂_ψ in the deformation process. The reduction direction is $z = \alpha$. Then, the 5-torus matrix is

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 9\mu_1^4\delta + 3\rho^2\mu_1^2(1-\mu_1^2) & 3\mu_1^2\mu_2^2(3\delta - \rho^2) & 3\mu_1^2\delta & 0 & 3f\omega\mu_1^2 \\ . & 9\mu_2^4\delta + 3\rho^2\mu_2^2(1-\mu_2^2) & 3\mu_2^2\delta & 0 & 3f\omega\mu_2^2 \\ . & . & \delta & 0 & \omega f \\ . & . & . & r^2\sin^2\chi_1 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & \omega \end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{36}$$

where $\delta \equiv (q + \omega f^2)$ and $\hat{g}$ is a symmetric matrix. The nonzero subdeterminants are

$$\begin{aligned} det\hat{g}(4 \mid 4) &= 9\rho^4 q\omega\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2\,, \\ det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) &= 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2\omega r^2\sin^2\chi_1[\mu_3^2\rho^2 + 3q(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2)]\,, \\ det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4) &= 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2\omega[\mu_3^2\rho^2 + 3q(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2)]\,, \\ det\hat{g}(4,5 \mid 3,4) &= 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2\rho^4\omega f\,, \\ det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 3,4) &= -9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2\omega q = -det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 3,4)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

---

[3] AdS_4 has a further isometry which corresponds to the shift of the time coordinate. However, we are not going to use this isometry.

Then, the eleven dimensional deformed metric from (29) is

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2_{11} &= G^{-1/3}[ds^2_{AdS_4} + U^{-1}d\rho^2 + 3\rho^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} d\mu_i^2] + G^{2/3}\{\gamma^2 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2\rho^4\omega q d\psi^2 \\ &+ 3\rho^2\left(\mu_1^2 d\phi_1^2 + \mu_2^2 d\phi_2^2 - [\mu_1^2 d\phi_1 + \mu_2^2 d\phi_2]^2\right) + q(d\psi + j_1)^2 + \omega[d\alpha + f(d\psi + j_1)]^2\} \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2\left(9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2\omega[\mu_3^2\rho^2 + 3q(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2)]\right). \tag{39}$$

In writing the metric we used the fact that $det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) = g_{44}det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4)$.

The Hodge dual of the 4-form field is

$$\star_{11}\hat{F}_4 = 54\rho^4(\frac{q\omega}{U})^{1/2}\mu_1\mu_2\sqrt{1 + \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2}\, d\rho \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 \wedge d\mu_1 \wedge d\mu_2 \wedge d\psi \wedge d\alpha \tag{40}$$

Then using (25) we find the deformed 4-form field $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \hat{F}_4 - 54\gamma\rho^4(\frac{q\omega}{U})^{1/2}\mu_1\mu_2\sqrt{1 + \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2}\, d\rho \wedge d\mu_1 \wedge d\mu_2 \wedge d\psi \\ &+\gamma d\{9G\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2\omega[(\mu_3^2\rho^2 + 3q(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2))d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 \wedge d\alpha + q(d\phi_1 - d\phi_2) \wedge d\psi \wedge d\alpha \\ &+\mu_3^2\rho^2 f d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 \wedge d\psi]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

These agree with the results of [13] and here we have the additive correction term in the metric (38), that is $G^{2/3}\gamma^2 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2\rho^4\omega q d\psi^2$, written explicitly.

2.1.2 Dipole Deformations

Now we apply our method to obtain dipole deformations of the above background (33). The necessary torus matrix is obtained from (36) by interchanging its rows and columns in the order $4 \leftrightarrow 5, 3 \leftrightarrow 4$ which gives the following symmetric matrix

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 9\mu_1^4\delta + 3\rho^2\mu_1^2(1-\mu_1^2) & 3\mu_1^2\mu_2^2(3\delta - \rho^2) & 3f\omega\mu_1^2 & 3\mu_1^2\delta & 0 \\ . & 9\mu_2^4\delta + 3\rho^2\mu_2^2(1-\mu_2^2) & 3f\omega\mu_2^2 & 3\mu_2^2\delta & 0 \\ . & . & \omega & \omega f & 0 \\ . & . & . & \delta & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & r^2\sin^2\chi_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{42}$$

Here $x^1 = \phi_1, x^2 = \phi_2, x^3 = \alpha, x^4 = \psi, x^5 = \chi_2$. So, the deformation 3-torus is $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \chi_2\}$ and again we don't use the R-symmetry ∂_ψ . The relevant nonzero subdeterminants are

$$\begin{aligned} det\hat{g}(4 \mid 4) &= 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2\omega r^2\sin^2\chi_1[\mu_3^2\rho^2 + 3q(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2)], \\ det\hat{g}(3 \mid 4) &= 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2\rho^4\omega f r^2\sin^2\chi_1, \\ det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) &= 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2\rho^4(q + \omega f^2)r^2\sin^2\chi_1, \\ det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4) &= 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2[3(q + \omega f^2)(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) + \rho^2\mu_3^2]r^2\sin^2\chi_1, \\ det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 3,4) &= -9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2\omega f r^2\sin^2\chi_1 = -det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 3,4), \\ det\hat{g}(1,3 \mid 3,4) &= -9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2(q + \omega f^2)r^2\sin^2\chi_1 = -det\hat{g}(2,3 \mid 3,4). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Then the deformed metric from (29) is

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}[ds_{AdS_4}^2 - r^2\sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2 + U^{-1}d\rho^2 + 3\rho^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} d\mu_i^2] + G^{2/3}[r^2\sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2 \\ &+ 3\rho^2\left(\mu_1^2 d\phi_1^2 + \mu_2^2 d\phi_2^2 - [\mu_1^2 d\phi_1 + \mu_2^2 d\phi_2]^2\right) + q(d\psi + j_1)^2 + \omega[d\alpha + f(d\psi + j_1)]^2] \\ &+ G^{2/3}\gamma^2 9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2 r^2\sin^2\chi_1[(\mu_3^2\rho^2 + 3q(\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2))\omega d\alpha^2 + \mu_3^2\rho^2\omega f d\alpha d\psi \\ &+ \mu_3^2\rho^2(q + \omega f^2)d\psi^2] \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2\left(9\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2[3(q+\omega f^2)(\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2) + \rho^2\mu_3^2]r^2\sin^2\chi_1\right). \tag{45}$$

Using (25) we find the deformed 4-form field $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \hat{F}_4 + \gamma d\{9G\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\rho^2 r^2\sin^2\chi_1[(3(q+\omega f^2)(\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2) + \rho^2\mu_3^2)d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\chi_2 \\ &\quad +\omega f(d\phi_1 - d\phi_2)\wedge d\alpha\wedge d\chi_2 + (q+\omega f^2)(d\phi_1 - d\phi_2)\wedge d\psi\wedge d\chi_2]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

2.2 Example 2: $AdS_7 \times S^4$

Our next background is $AdS_7 \times S^4$. Noncommutative and dipole deformations of the $M5$-brane solution were studied in [17], where the near horizon limits was also explained. Here we directly start from the $AdS_7 \times S^4$ geometry and use a metric parametrization different from [17]. This background can be written as (after suitable rescalings):

$$ds^2 = -(1+r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2 d\Omega_5^2 + d\Omega_4 \tag{47}$$

where spheres are parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega_5^2 &= d\alpha^2 + c_\alpha^2(d\phi_3 - d\phi_2)^2 + s_\alpha^2[d\theta^2 + \cos^2\theta(d\phi_3 + d\phi_1 + d\phi_2)^2 + \sin^2\theta(d\phi_3 - d\phi_1)^2] \\ d\Omega_4^2 &= d\chi_1^2 + \cos^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2 + \sin^2\chi_1[d\chi_3^2 + \sin^2\chi_3 d\chi_4^2] \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

$U(1)$ directions are $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$ and $\{\chi_2, \chi_4\}$. The 4-form field strength is given as

$$\hat{F}_4 = vol(\Omega_4) = \cos\chi_1\sin^2\chi_1\sin\chi_3 d\chi_1\wedge d\chi_2\wedge d\chi_3\wedge d\chi_4. \tag{49}$$

In finding the deformed 4-form field in eleven dimensions we use the following orientation

$$\star_{11}\hat{F}_4 = vol(AdS_7) = \frac{r^5\cos\alpha\sin^3\alpha\cos\theta\sin\theta}{3}dt\wedge dr\wedge d\alpha\wedge d\theta\wedge d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\phi_3. \tag{50}$$

2.2.1 Noncommutative Deformations

We perform a noncommutative deformation by choosing all the isometries from the AdS_7 part: $x^1 = \phi_1, x^2 = \phi_2, x^5 = z = \phi_3$. We also label $x^3 = \chi_2, x^4 = \chi_4$. Then the torus matrix is ($s_\alpha \equiv \sin\alpha,\ c_\alpha \equiv \cos\alpha$ and $s_\theta \equiv \sin\theta,\ c_\theta \equiv \cos\theta$)

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} r^2 s_\alpha^2 & r^2 s_\alpha^2\cos^2\theta & 0 & 0 & r^2 s_\alpha^2\cos 2\theta \\ . & r^2(c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2\cos^2\theta) & 0 & 0 & r^2(-c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2\cos^2\theta) \\ . & . & \cos^2\chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & \sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & r^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{51}$$

which is symmetric. The relevant nonzero subdeterminants are

$$\begin{aligned} det\hat{g}(3,4\mid 3,4) &= 9r^6c_\alpha^2 s_\alpha^4 s_\theta^2 c_\theta^2 \equiv \Delta\,, \\ det\hat{g}(4\mid 4) &= \Delta\cos^2\chi_1\,, \\ det\hat{g}(3\mid 3) &= \Delta\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3\,, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The deformed metric is found from (29) as

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}[-(1+r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2(d\alpha^2 + \sin^2\alpha\, d\theta^2) + d\Omega_4] \qquad (53) \\ &+ G^{2/3}[\cos^2\alpha(d\phi_3 - d\phi_2)^2 + \sin^2\alpha(\cos^2\theta(d\phi_3 + d\phi_1 + d\phi_2)^2 + \sin^2\theta(d\phi_3 - d\phi_1)^2)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2\Delta. \tag{54}$$

Note that having $det\hat{g}(3\mid 3) = \hat{g}_{44}det\hat{g}(3,4\mid 3,4)$ and $det\hat{g}(4\mid 4) = \hat{g}_{33}det\hat{g}(3,4\mid 3,4)$ has simplified our results, as we discussed earlier. On the other hand, using (25) the deformed 4-form field is found to be

$$\tilde{\hat{F}}_4 = \hat{F}_4 + \gamma\frac{r^5c_\alpha s_\alpha^3 c_\theta s_\theta}{3}dt\wedge dr\wedge d\alpha\wedge d\theta + \gamma d\left(\frac{\Delta}{1+\gamma^2\Delta}d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\phi_3\right). \tag{55}$$

2.2.2 Type I Dipole Deformations

As noted in [17] there are two different ways to do the dipole deformations of $AdS_7 \times S^4$. The first option is to choose two isometries from the AdS part and one isometry from the S^4 part and the second option is to choose two isometries from the S^4 and one from the AdS_7. Following the terminology introduced in [17] we call them Type I and Type II deformations, respectively. In this subsection we will consider only the Type I case and leave discussion of the other to the subsection 2.3. The Type I case is in agreement with our assumption on $\hat{F}_4$ and hence we can use our general formulas (24) and (29). For example, labeling directions as $x^1 = \phi_1, x^2 = \phi_2, x^3 = \phi_3, x^4 = \chi_2, x^5 = \chi_4$ the torus matrix is ($s_\alpha \equiv \sin\alpha,\ c_\alpha \equiv \cos\alpha$ and $s_\theta \equiv \sin\theta,\ c_\theta \equiv \cos\theta$):

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} r^2s_\alpha^2 & r^2s_\alpha^2\cos^2\theta & r^2s_\alpha^2\cos 2\theta & 0 & 0 \\ . & r^2(c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2\cos^2\theta) & r^2(-c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2\cos^2\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & \cos^2\chi_1 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & \sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{56}$$

The relevant nonzero subdeterminants are

$$\begin{aligned} det\hat{g}(4\mid 4) &= 9r^6c_\alpha^2 s_\alpha^4 s_\theta^2 c_\theta^2\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3\,, \\ det\hat{g}(3\mid 3) &= r^4\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3\cos^2\chi_1 s_\alpha^2(c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2c_\theta^2s_\theta^2)\,, \\ det\hat{g}(3,4\mid 3,4) &= r^4\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3 s_\alpha^2(c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2c_\theta^2s_\theta^2) \equiv \Delta\,, \\ det\hat{g}(1,4\mid 3,4) &= r^4\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3 s_\alpha^2[-c_\alpha^2(c_\theta^2 + c_{2\theta}) + s_\alpha^2c_\theta^2s_\theta^2]\,, \\ det\hat{g}(2,4\mid 3,4) &= r^4\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3 s_\alpha^2(-c_\alpha^2 + 2s_\alpha^2c_\theta^2s_\theta^2). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Then the deformed metric using (29) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\tilde{s}_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}[-(1+r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2(d\alpha^2 + \sin^2\alpha\, d\theta^2) + d\Omega_4] \qquad (58)\\
&+G^{2/3}[\cos^2\alpha(d\phi_3 - d\phi_2)^2 + \sin^2\alpha(\cos^2\theta(d\phi_3 + d\phi_1 + d\phi_2)^2 + \sin^2\theta(d\phi_3 - d\phi_1)^2)]\\
&+G^{2/3}\gamma^2\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3[\Delta\, d\chi_4^2 + 9r^6 c_\alpha^2 s_\alpha^4 s_\theta^2 c_\theta^2\, d\phi_3^2], \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2\Delta. \qquad (60)$$

Again we have used the fact that $det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) = \hat{g}_{44}det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4)$ and the identity $G^{2/3} - G^{-1/3} = G^{2/3}\gamma^2\Delta$. Meanwhile, the deformed 4-form field from (25) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \hat{F}_4 + \gamma d[Gr^4\sin^2\chi_1\sin^2\chi_3 s_\alpha^2((c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2 c_\theta^2 s_\theta^2))d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\chi_4 \qquad (61)\\
&+((-c_\alpha^2(c_\theta^2 + c_{2\theta}) + s_\alpha^2 c_\theta^2 s_\theta^2))d\phi_2\wedge d\phi_3\wedge d\chi_4 + ((-c_\alpha^2 + 2s_\alpha^2 c_\theta^2 s_\theta^2))d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_3\wedge d\chi_4].
\end{aligned}
$$

2.3 An Exceptional Case: Type II Dipole Deformations of $AdS_7 \times S^4$

Until now we have discussed 1-parameter deformations, with the assumption that $\hat{F}_4$ has at most one leg along the isometry directions. In this section, we illustrate how our method works, when this assumption does not hold. We do this by considering the dipole deformation of the $AdS_7 \times S^4$ example (47) where the 3-torus associated with the deformation has coordinates $\{\phi_1, \chi_2, \chi_4\}$. At first sight, there seems to be two options to perform the deformation, which might yield different results. One possibility is to start by reducing along an S^4 coordinate (χ_2 or χ_4). This gives rise to a B-field in ten dimensions, which has a component along the remaining S^4 isometry coordinate. Therefore the background matrix (1) is not symmetric anymore. On the other hand, reducing along the AdS_4 coordinate ϕ_1, one ends up with no B-field in ten dimensions and the background matrix is still symmetric. As a result, the transformation of the background matrices under T-duality will give results of different forms. In fact, each step of dimensional reduction, T-duality and lifting, works differently for these two options. In what follows, we analyze these steps separately for each case and reach the (nontrivial but expected) result that both choices yield the same deformed solution up to a sign in γ terms.

Suppose that we reduce along one of the isometry directions of S^4, say χ_2. Then, we generate a B-field in 10 dimensions, which has one leg along the isometry direction χ_4: $B_{\chi_1\chi_4} \neq 0$ or $B_{\chi_3\chi_4} \neq 0$. Thus, we cannot apply the general formulas we derived before and have to analyze the reduction, T-duality, lifting process again. After reducing along χ_2, the ten dimensional metric in the string frame becomes:

$$\frac{1}{\cos\chi_1}ds_{10\ s}^2 = -(1+r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2 d\Omega_5^2 + d\chi_1^2 + \sin^2\chi_1[d\chi_3^2 + \sin^2\chi_3 d\chi_4^2]\,, \qquad (62)$$

where we used the fact that $A = 0$ and $e^{4/3\phi} = \cos^2\chi_1$.

Using (14) and (15) the reduction of the 4-form field (49) gives

$$F_4 = 0, \qquad F_3 = dB = \cos\chi_1\sin^2\chi_1\sin\chi_3 d\chi_1\wedge d\chi_3\wedge d\chi_4\,, \qquad (63)$$

in ten dimensions. We choose our gauge such that[4]

$$B = \frac{1}{6}\sin^3\chi_1\sin\chi_3 d\chi_3\wedge d\chi_4 + \frac{1}{2}\cos\chi_1\sin^2\chi_1\cos\chi_3 d\chi_1\wedge d\chi_4. \qquad (64)$$

---

[4] This choice ensures that the B-field is independent of the T-duality coordinate χ_4, which is essential.

Now our background matrix (1) is 6 × 6 and given as

$$E = \cos\chi_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{B_{\chi_1\chi_4}}{\cos\chi_1} & -\frac{B_{\chi_3\chi_4}}{\cos\chi_1} \\ . & r^2 s_\alpha^2 & r^2 s_\alpha^2 c_\theta^2 & r^2 s_\alpha^2 \cos 2\theta & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & r^2(c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2 c_\theta^2) & r^2(-c_\alpha^2 + s_\alpha^2 c_\theta^2) & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{B_{\chi_1\chi_4}}{\cos\chi_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{B_{\chi_3\chi_4}}{\cos\chi_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin^2\chi_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{65}$$

in which the dotted entries are filled out by using the fact that the first 4 columns and rows form a symmetric 4 × 4 matrix. Here we have labeled our coordinates such that $x^1 = \chi_4, x^2 = \phi_1, x^3 = \phi_2, x^4 = \phi_3, x^5 = \chi_1, x^6 = \chi_3$. Then we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu,\nu \in \{r,t,\theta,\alpha\} \\
\tilde{g}_{wi} &= G g_{wi}, \quad w = 1,2, \quad i = 1,2,3,4 \\
\tilde{g}_{i5} &= -\gamma G B_{15} g_{i2} \\
\tilde{g}_{i6} &= -\gamma G B_{16} g_{i2} \\
\tilde{g}_{33} &= G[g_{33} + \gamma^2 det E(4,5,6 \mid 4,5,6)] = G[g_{33} + \gamma^2 \Delta r^2 \cos\chi_1 (\cos^2\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\sin^2\alpha \sin^2 2\theta)] \\
\tilde{g}_{34} &= G[g_{34} + \gamma^2 det E(3,5,6 \mid 4,5,6)] = G[g_{34} + \gamma^2 \Delta r^2 \cos\chi_1 (-\cos^2\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\alpha \sin^2 2\theta)] \\
\tilde{g}_{44} &= G[g_{44} + \gamma^2 det E(3,5,6 \mid 3,5,6)] = G[g_{44} + \gamma^2 \Delta r^2 \cos\chi_1 (\cos^2\alpha + \sin^2\alpha \sin^2 2\theta)] \\
\tilde{g}_{st} &= g_{st} + G\gamma^2 B_{1s} B_{1t} g_{22} = G g_{st} + G\gamma^2 (\Delta g_{st} + B_{1s} B_{1t} g_{22}), \quad s,t = 5,6
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{B}_{ij} &= G\gamma(g_{i1} g_{2j} - g_{i2} g_{1j}), \quad i,j = 1,2,3,4 \\
\tilde{B}_{1s} &= G B_{1s}, \quad s = 5,6 \\
\tilde{B}_{2s} &= 0, \\
\tilde{B}_{3s} &= G\gamma^2 B_{1s}(g_{12} g_{23} - g_{22} g_{13}) \\
\tilde{B}_{4s} &= G\gamma^2 B_{1s}(g_{12} g_{24} - g_{22} g_{14}) \\
\tilde{B}_{56} &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

where

$$B_{15} = -B_{\chi_1\chi_4} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\chi_1 \cos\chi_1 \cos\chi_3, \quad B_{16} = -B_{\chi_3\chi_4} = -\frac{1}{6}\sin^3\chi_1 \sin\chi_3 \tag{68}$$

and

$$G = (1 + \gamma^2 \Delta)^{-1} \tag{69}$$

with

$$\Delta = g_{11} g_{22} - g_{12}^2 = r^2 \sin^2\alpha \cos^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_3. \tag{70}$$

Looking at (9) we see that

$$\tilde{F}_2 = F_2 = dA = 0, \quad \tilde{F}_4 = 0.$$

Then the lifting of the metric to eleven dimensions with $\tilde{A}=0$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2_{11} &= e^{-2/3\tilde{\phi}} d\tilde{s}^2_{10} + e^{4/3\tilde{\phi}} d\chi_2^2 \\ &= G^{2/3}[ds^2_{11} + \gamma^2 e^{-2/3\phi}\{detE(4,5,6 \mid 4,5,6) d\phi_2^2 + detE(3,5,6 \mid 4,5,6) d\phi_2 d\phi_3 \\ &+ detE(3,5,6 \mid 3,5,6) d\phi_3^2 + \Delta((-1+r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2 d\alpha^2 + r^2 \sin^2\alpha d\theta^2) \\ &+ (\Delta g_{55} + B_{15}^2 g_{22}) d\chi_1^2 + (\Delta g_{66} + B_{16}^2 g_{22}) d\chi_3^2 + (\Delta g_{56} + B_{15} B_{16} g_{22}) d\chi_1 d\chi_3\} \\ &- \gamma e^{-2/3\phi}\{(g_{12} d\chi_4 + g_{22} d\phi_1 + g_{32} d\phi_2 + g_{42} d\phi_3)(B_{15} d\chi_1 + B_{16} d\chi_3)\}], \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where we have used $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} = G g_{\mu\nu} + (1-G) g_{\mu\nu} = G g_{\mu\nu} + \gamma^2 \Delta G g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu,\nu \in \{r,t,\theta,\alpha\}$. The metric components can be read from (65).

Meanwhile, the only contribution to the deformed 4-form field in eleven dimensions comes from the deformed B-field in ten dimensions and is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= d(\tilde{B} \wedge d\chi_2) \qquad (72) \\ &= d[G \sin^2\chi_1 (-\frac{1}{2}\cos\chi_3 \cos\chi_1 d\chi_1 - \frac{1}{6}\sin\chi_1 \sin\chi_3 d\chi_3) \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d\chi_4 \\ &\quad + \gamma G \Delta (d\phi_1 + \cos^2\theta d\phi_2 + \cos 2\theta d\phi_3)] \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d\chi_4 \end{aligned}$$

One can check by explicit computation that if we interchange $x^1 \leftrightarrow x^2$, that is, if we set $x^1 = \phi_1, x^2 = \chi_4, x^3 = \phi_2, x^4 = \phi_3, x^5 = \chi_1, x^6 = \chi_3$, the eleven dimensional deformed metric and the deformed four form field will be the same except for the fact that the γ corrections will have an overall -1 factor. On the other hand, if we start by reducing along χ_4 and label the coordinates of the background matrix such that $x^1 = \phi_1, x^2 = \chi_2$ we again obtain the deformed metric (71) and the deformed $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ (72), whereas switching x^1 with x^2 brings an overall -1 factor to the γ corrections.

Now let us consider the case of dimensional reduction along the isometry direction from AdS_7, i.e. ϕ_1. This choice generates no B-field in 10 dimensions:

$$\hat{F}_4 = F_4, \qquad B = 0. \tag{73}$$

After reduction along ϕ_1 we obtain the ten dimensional metric as

$$\frac{1}{r\sin\alpha} ds^2_{10\ s} = -(1+r^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2 d\bar{\Omega}_4 + d\Omega_4, \tag{74}$$

where Ω_4 is given in (48) and

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{\Omega}_4 &= d\alpha^2 + \sin^2\alpha d\theta^2 + (\cos^2\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\sin^2\alpha \sin^2 2\theta) d\phi_2^2 \\ &\quad + (\cos^2\alpha + \sin^2\alpha \sin^2 2\theta) d\phi_3^2 + 2(-\cos^2\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\alpha \sin^2 2\theta) d\phi_2 d\phi_3. \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Note that we have $e^{4/3\phi} = r^2 \sin^2\alpha$ and $A = \cos^2\theta d\phi_2 + \cos 2\theta d\phi_3$. Now we T-dualize along the remaining two isometries: χ_2 and χ_4. Because these two coordinates do not mix with any other direction in the metric, the background matrix (1) is only 2×2:

$$E = r\sin\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2\chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{76}$$

Acting on this background matrix with the T-duality matrix (2) with $d = 2$ we obtain the deformed fields in ten dimensions:

$$\tilde{g}_{\chi_u \chi_v} = G g_{\chi_u \chi_v}, \quad u, v = 2, 4 \qquad \tilde{B}_{\chi_2 \chi_4} = \gamma G r^2 \sin^2\alpha \cos^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_3, \tag{77}$$

where

$$G = (1 + \gamma^2 r^2 \sin^2\alpha \sin^2\chi_1 \cos^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_3)^{-1} = (1 + \gamma^2\Delta)^{-1}. \tag{78}$$

We have a novelty in lifting this ten dimensional deformed metric back to eleven dimensions. One can see from (9) that in this case the two form F_2 has a nontrivial transformation and lifting the 10 dimensional deformed metric to eleven dimensions one has to use the deformed one-form $\tilde{A}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_2 &= F_2 - \gamma i_{\chi_2} i_{\chi_4} F_4 \\ &= \sin 2\theta (d\phi_2 \wedge d\theta + 2 d\phi_3 \wedge d\theta) + \gamma \cos\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_1 \sin\chi_3 d\chi_1 \wedge d\chi_3, \\ \tilde{F}_4 &= F_4 + \tilde{F}_2 \wedge \tilde{B}. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

In a suitable gauge consistent with the choice we made in (64), the deformed one-form $\tilde{A}$ to be used in lifting the ten dimensional deformed metric is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &= \cos^2\theta d\phi_2 + \cos 2\theta d\phi_3 + \gamma(\frac{1}{6}\sin^3\chi_1 \sin\chi_3 d\chi_3 + \frac{1}{2}\cos\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_1 \cos\chi_3 d\chi_1) \\ &\equiv A + \gamma\mathcal{A}. \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2_{11} &= e^{-2/3\tilde{\phi}} d\tilde{s}^2_{10} + e^{4/3\tilde{\phi}}(d\phi_1 + \tilde{A})^2 \\ &= G^{2/3}[(1 + \gamma^2\Delta) e^{-2/3\phi} d\tilde{s}^2_{10} + e^{4/3\phi}(d\phi_1 + A + \gamma\mathcal{A})^2] \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

where we have used $G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2\Delta$. Also using

$$d\tilde{s}^2_{10} = ds^2_{10} - \gamma^2\Delta(g_{\chi_2\chi_2} d\chi_2^2 + g_{\chi_4\chi_4} d\chi_4^2) \tag{82}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2_{11} &= G^{2/3}[ds^2_{11} + e^{-2/3\phi}\gamma^2\Delta(ds^2_{10} - g_{\chi_2\chi_2} d\chi_2^2 - g_{\chi_4\chi_4} d\chi_4^2) \\ &\quad + e^{4/3\phi}\gamma^2\mathcal{A}^2 + e^{4/3\phi}\gamma(d\phi_1 + A)\mathcal{A}] \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Reading A and $\mathcal{A}$ from (80) and using $e^{4/3\phi} = r^2 \sin^2\alpha$ one finds that the metric in (83) is exactly the same as the metric in (71). Here too, changing the order of χ_2 and χ_4 brings an overall -1 sign to $\mathcal{A}$ (as the two contractions i_{χ_2} and i_{χ_4} anticommute) and hence an overall -1 sign to the γ correction to the eleven dimensional metric in (83). The deformed 4-form field in 11-dimensions can be found from (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \tilde{F}_4 + d\tilde{B} \wedge (d\phi_1 + \tilde{A}) \qquad (84) \\ &= \hat{F}_4 + \gamma d[G\Delta(d\phi_1 + \cos^2\theta d\phi_2 + \cos 2\theta d\phi_3)] \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d\chi_4 \\ &\quad + \gamma^2 d[G\Delta(\frac{1}{6}\sin^3\chi_1 \sin\chi_3 d\chi_3 + \frac{1}{2}\cos\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_1)] \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d\chi_4 \end{aligned}$$

where we use (77), (79) and (80). It can be shown that (84) is equivalent to (72) by using the identity $G = 1 - \gamma^2\Delta G$. Again interchanging $x^1 \leftrightarrow x^2$ brings an overall -1 factor to the γ corrections in (84).

Therefore, it is still true that once we fix T^3 (which, in this case is the $\{\chi_2, \chi_4, \phi_1\}$ torus), it does not make a difference as to how we choose the reduction and T-duality directions, up to a sign in the γ corrections to the metric and the 4-form field as was observed in [17]. We see from the above discussions that the sign is the same as the sign of $\epsilon^{zx^1x^2}$, where $\epsilon^{\chi_4\chi_2\phi_1} = 1$. Note that this sign issue in the metric did not arise in the previous subsections, as we had symmetric background matrices and hence no γ corrections in the eleven dimensional deformed metric.

3 Multiparameter Deformations

In the previous section, we studied 1-parameter deformations of M-theory backgrounds with five commuting isometries. Our method involved fixing a three dimensional subtorus of the 5-torus associated with these isometries. Then we used one of the directions of this 3-torus for the reduction and the remaining two for T-dualization. In this section, we will generalize our discussion to multiparameter deformations. The most general deformation would have ten parameters, which can be obtained by performing our method ten times subsequently, by using the $\begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = 10$ possible 3-tori embedded in the 5-torus. A less complicated, 6-parameter deformation can be obtained by fixing the reduction direction, say z, and then applying the T-duality transformation via the matrix (2), $\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 6$ times. As we have seen, once the 3-torus is decided, it does not make a difference as to which direction is chosen for the reduction. Therefore, the 6-parameter deformation obtained this way would be equivalent to a deformation by using the 6 possible 3-tori with coordinates $\{z, x^i, x^j\}$, where x^i, x^j are chosen from the 4 remaining isometries of T^5. The six consecutive T-duality transformations in ten dimensions can still be obtained by the action of a single $O(4,4)$ matrix T defined as [4]:

$$T = T_1.T_2.T_3.T_4.T_5.T_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \Gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{85}$$

where each T_r is an $O(4,4)$ matrix of the form

$$T_r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \Gamma_r & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad r = 1, \cdots, 6 \tag{86}$$

with

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \gamma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{87}$$

$$\Gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_5 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma_6 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{88}$$

From (85) it is easy to see that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6$. Setting $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_4 = \gamma_5 = \gamma_6 = 0$, T given in (85) becomes equal to the T-duality matrix in (2) that we used for the one-parameter deformation with $\gamma = \gamma_3$. Instead of giving the results for a 6-parameter deformation, we will make a further simplification and set $\gamma_4 = \gamma_5 = \gamma_6 = 0$, that is, we will not involve the isometry corresponding to the shift of the coordinate x^4 in the deformation process. This is a sensible choice to make, as one of the isometries in the original eleven dimensional background will always be associated with the R-symmetry on the field theory side [5]. Let us remind that, strictly speaking x^4 does not have to be a $U(1)$ direction, since we are not using it for deformation process. With this the matrix Γ becomes

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_3 & \gamma_2 & 0 \\ \gamma_3 & 0 & -\gamma_1 & 0 \\ -\gamma_2 & \gamma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{89}$$

This choice corresponds to performing 3 successive $O(2,2)$ T-duality transformations in $D = 10$ using the isometry directions $\{x^1, x^2\}, \{x^1, x^3\}$ and $\{x^2, x^3\}$ with parameters $\gamma_3, \gamma_2, \gamma_1$ respectively. Each $O(2,2)$ leads to a LM deformation.

We again assume that $\hat{F}_4$ has at most one leg along the torus directions which are decoupled from the rest of the geometry. With these assumptions the background matrix E in $D = 10$ (1) becomes symmetric. Now we reduce along one of the coordinates which we have named $x^5 = z$ and deform the resulting ten dimensional metric in the string frame using (85) on E. From the transformation rules (5) and (4) we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}_{ab} &= G[g_{ab} + \gamma_a\gamma_b \; detg(4 \mid 4)], \quad a,b = 1,2,3 \\
\tilde{g}_{a4} &= G[g_{a4} + \sum_{b=1,2,3} (-1)^{b+1} \; \gamma_a\gamma_b \; detg(b \mid 4)], \quad a = 1,2,3 \\
\tilde{g}_{44} &= G[g_{44} + \sum_{a,b=1,2,3} (-1)^{a+b} \; \gamma_a\gamma_b \; detg(a \mid b)] \\
\tilde{B}_{ij} &= G\epsilon^{ij} \sum_{k,l=1,2,3,4;\; k,l\neq i,j;\; k\neq l} \; \sum_{a=1,2,3} (-1)^{a+1}\gamma_a \; detg(k,l \mid a,4) \\
e^{2\tilde{\phi}} &= Ge^{2\phi},
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where $G = det(\Gamma E + 1)^{-1}$ is

$$G = [1 + \sum_{a,b=1,2,3} (-1)^{a+b} \; \gamma_a\gamma_b \; detg(4, a \mid b, 4)]^{-1}. \tag{91}$$

In order to lift this deformed ten dimensional metric back to eleven dimensions we go through the same steps as in the previous section and derive

$$\begin{aligned}
d\tilde{s}_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + G^{2/3}\hat{g}_{mn}dx^m dx^n \\
&\quad + G^{2/3} \sum_{a,b=1,2,3} [\gamma_a\gamma_b \; det\hat{g}(4 \mid 4)dx^a dx^b + (-1)^{b+1} \; \gamma_a\gamma_b \; det\hat{g}(b \mid 4)dx^a dx^4 \\
&\quad + (-1)^{a+b} \; \gamma_a\gamma_b \; det\hat{g}(a \mid b)dx^4 dx^4)],
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

with

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{a,b=1,2,3} (-1)^{a+b} \; \gamma_a\gamma_b \; det\hat{g}(4, a \mid b, 4). \tag{93}$$

---

[5] In all but one of the examples below we will omit the isometry that corresponds to the R-symmetry, so that the supersymmetry is not lost. However, in 2 or 3-parameter β deformations cases we will be forced to involve this isometry. Then, the unused isometry will be the one coming from the AdS part of the geometry.

Here $\hat{g}$ is the 5×5 torus matrix with entries $\hat{g}_{mn}$.

On the other hand, the Ramond-Ramond fields in the deformed ten dimensional geometry can be found via the action on (7) of the operator $\mathbf{T}$ (6) which takes the form

$$\mathbf{T} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc}\,\gamma_c\; i_a i_b \tag{94}$$

for Γ in (89). Here $\epsilon^{123} = 1$. Finding the deformed 4-form field and lifting it to eleven dimensions with the B-field in the deformed geometry we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 \;&=\; \hat{F}_4 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc}\,\gamma_c\; i_a i_b i_z \star_{11} \hat{F}_4 \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{a+1}\gamma_a}{2} d\left(G \sum_{q,r\neq m,n,p;\; q\neq r} det\hat{g}(q,r \mid a,4)\frac{\epsilon^{mnp} dx_m \wedge dx_n \wedge dx_p}{3!}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

where $\epsilon^{mnp} = 1$ for $m < n < p$ with $m, n, p = \{1, ..., 5\}$ and $a, b, c = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Note that in deriving (92) and (95) we used $\{x^1, x^2, x^5\}$, $\{x^1, x^3, x^5\}$ and $\{x^2, x^3, x^5\}$ tori with deformation parameters γ_3, γ_2 and γ_1 respectively.

Let us note that when $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 = 0$ then formulas (92), (95) and (91) reduce to our single parameter results (29), (25) and (19) with $\gamma_3 = \gamma$. When only one of the $\gamma_a = 0$ we have a 2-parameter deformation. Finally we would like to point out that our formulas are still true if the x^4 coordinate is not a $U(1)$ direction but just a coordinate that mixes with $\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^5\}$. If in the given background there is no such mixing or if there are only 4 decoupled $U(1)$ directions to begin with, it is straightforward to adopt our main formulas (92), (95) and (91). This will just be a special case of the above where the 4'th $U(1)$ direction does not couple with others. Let $\hat{g}$ be the 4×4 torus matrix of $\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^5\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2_{11} \;&=\; G^{-1/3}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + G^{2/3}\hat{g}_{mn}dx^m dx^n + G^{2/3}\sum_{a,b=1,2,3}\gamma_a\gamma_b\; det\hat{g}\; dx^a dx^b \\ \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 \;&=\; \hat{F}_4 - \frac{\epsilon^{abc}}{2}\,\gamma_c\; i_a i_b i_z \star_{11} \hat{F}_4 + (-1)^{a+1}\gamma_a d\,[G\sum_{q\neq m,n,p} det\hat{g}(q \mid a)\frac{\epsilon^{mnp} dx_m \wedge dx_n \wedge dx_p}{3!}] \\ G^{-1} \;&=\; 1 + \sum_{a,b=1,2,3}(-1)^{a+b}\;\gamma_a\gamma_b\; det\hat{g}(a \mid b), \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

where $m, n, p = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$.

3.1 Example: $AdS_4 \times (\mathrm{Sasaki} - \mathrm{Einstein})_7$ (with base $S^2 \times S^2$)

In this section we will apply the method we described above to obtain 3-parameter deformations of the background

$$AdS_4 \times X_7$$

where X_7 is the seven dimensional Sasaki-Einstein space found recently in [6] with base $S^2 \times S^2$. The metric and 4-form field are

$$ds^2_{11} = ds^2_{AdS_4} + ds^2_{X_7}\,, \qquad \hat{F}_4 = 6\,vol(AdS_4)\,, \tag{97}$$

where AdS_4 metric is given in (34) and

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{X_7} \;&=\; U^{-1}d\rho^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{2}(d\theta_1^2 + \sin\theta_1{}^2 d\phi_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + \sin\theta_2{}^2 d\phi_2^2) \\ &\quad + q(d\psi + j_1)^2 + w[d\alpha + f(d\psi + j_1)]^2 \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

Here the radius of the Sasaki-Einstein manifold is taken to be 1. In this case the radius of the AdS_4 is $1/2$ and in writing the above metric we scaled time and radial coordinates with factor 2. The functions U, ω, f and q are functions of ρ that are given in [6] and $j_1 = -\cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 - \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2$.

In addition to the AdS_4 Killing vector ∂_{χ_2} there are four more commuting isometries of X_7 with Killing vectors $\partial_{\phi_1}, \partial_{\phi_2}, \partial_\alpha, \partial_\psi$. The last one corresponds to the R-symmetry $U(1)$ on the field theory side.

3.1.1 β Deformations

We label the coordinates as $x^1 = \phi_1$, $x^2 = \phi_2, x^3 = \psi$ and $x^4 = \chi_2$ and choose α as the reduction direction, i.e., $z = x^5 = \alpha$. Setting $x^4 = \chi_2$ guarantees that the deformation involves no dipole deformation. These correspond to the choice of three 3-tori with coordinates $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \alpha\}, \{\phi_1, \psi, \alpha\}, \{\phi_2, \psi, \alpha\}$, whose deformation parameters are γ_3, γ_2 and γ_1, respectively. The 5-torus matrix is ($s_i \equiv \sin\theta_i$, $c_i \equiv \cos\theta_i$, $\quad i = 1, 2$)

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^2}{2}s_1^2 + (wf^2+q)c_1^2 & (wf^2+q)c_1c_2 & -(wf^2+q)c_1 & 0 & -wfc_1 \\ . & \frac{\rho^2}{2}s_2^2 + (wf^2+q)c_2^2 & -(wf^2+q)c_2 & 0 & -wfc_2 \\ . & . & (wf^2+q) & 0 & wf \\ . & . & . & r^2\sin^2\chi_1 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & w \end{pmatrix} . \tag{99}$$

Missing entries are filled using the fact that $\hat{g}$ is a symmetric matrix. Evaluating the relevant non-zero subdeterminants are given in (121). Then using (92) the deformed metric becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}\{ds_{AdS_4}^2 + U^{-1}d\rho^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{2}(d\theta_1^2 + d\theta_2^2)\} \\ &+ G^{2/3}\{\frac{\rho^2}{2}(s_1^2 d\phi_1^2 + s_2^2 d\phi_2^2) + q(d\psi + j_1)^2 + w[d\alpha + f(d\psi + j_1)]^2\} \\ &+ G^{2/3}\frac{\omega q \rho^4 s_1^2 s_2^2}{4}\{\gamma_3^2 d\psi^2 + (\gamma_1 d\phi_1 + \gamma_2 d\phi_2)^2 + 2\gamma_1\gamma_3 d\phi_1 d\psi + 2\gamma_2\gamma_3 d\phi_2 d\psi\}. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1} &= 1 + \frac{\gamma_3^2\rho^2 w}{4}[2q(s_1^2c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] + \gamma_2^2\frac{\rho^2\omega q s_1^2}{2} + \gamma_1^2\frac{\rho^2\omega q s_2^2}{2} \\ &+ \gamma_1\gamma_3\rho^2\omega q c_1 s_2^2 - \gamma_2\gamma_3\rho^2\omega q c_2 s_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

Note that in deriving (100) we used (92) in which the $d\chi_2^2$ terms combine to give the $d\chi_2^2$ term in the AdS_4 metric (34) by using the fact that $G^{-1/3} = G^{2/3}G^{-1}$. On the other hand from (97) we get

$$\star_{11}\hat{F}_4 = 6vol(X_7) = \frac{3\rho^4 s_1 s_2 (q\omega)^{1/2}}{2U^{1/2}} d\rho \wedge d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge d\psi \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 \wedge d\alpha\,, \tag{102}$$

and using (95) we find the deformed 4-form field $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ as

$$\tilde{\hat{F}}_4 = \hat{F}_4 - (\frac{9q\omega}{4U})^{1/2}\rho^4 s_1 s_2 d\rho \wedge d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge [\gamma_1 d\phi_1 + \gamma_2 d\phi_2 + \gamma_3 d\psi]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad \gamma_3 d(G\,[\frac{\rho^2 w}{4}(2q(s_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2s_2^2)+\rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2)d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\phi_2\wedge d\psi\wedge d\alpha \\
&- \quad \frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\phi_1\wedge d\psi\wedge d\alpha+\frac{1}{4}\rho^4\omega f s_1^2 s_2^2 d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\psi]) \\
&- \quad \gamma_2 d(G\,[-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q s_1^2 d\phi_2\wedge d\psi\wedge d\alpha]) \\
&+ \quad \gamma_1 d(G\,[\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q s_2^2 d\phi_2\wedge d\psi\wedge d\alpha]).
\end{aligned}
\tag{103}
$$

Here we used the orientation given in [13] for the volume forms which are fixed by Killing spinors of X_7. Setting $\gamma_1=\gamma_2=0$ and $\gamma_3=\gamma$ in (100) and (103) we find the result of [13] albeit presented in a different way. Note that this is the only β deformation for which the R-symmetry ∂_ψ is left untouched.

3.1.2 Dipole Deformations

In this case one of the coordinates of the 3-tori used for the deformation should always be chosen as the AdS_4 isometry direction χ_2. We ensure that by choosing $x^5=z=\chi_2$. The omitted isometry corresponds to the shift of the R-symmetry direction ψ, so we set $x^4=\psi$. Then our 5-torus matrix is obtained from (99) by interchanging the columns and rows in the following order: $4\leftrightarrow 5, 3\leftrightarrow 4$.

$$
\hat{g}=\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\rho^2}{2}s_1^2+(wf^2+q)c_1^2 & (wf^2+q)c_1c_2 & -wfc_1 & -(wf^2+q)c_1 & 0\\
. & \frac{\rho^2}{2}s_2^2+(wf^2+q)c_2^2 & -wfc_2 & -(wf^2+q)c_2 & 0\\
. & . & w & wf & 0\\
. & . & . & (wf^2+q) & 0\\
. & . & . & . & r^2\sin^2\chi_1
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{104}
$$

Note that we have set $x^1=\phi_1, x^2=\phi_2, x^3=\alpha$. Therefore the 3-parameter deformation we will present here is the one obtained by using the 3-tori $\{\chi_2,\phi_1,\phi_2\}$, $\{\chi_2,\phi_1,\alpha\}$ and $\{\chi_2,\phi_2,\alpha\}$. The relevant non-zero subdeterminants are given in (122). So, we see from (92) that the deformed metric becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds_{11}^2 \quad &= \quad G^{-1/3}\{ds_{AdS_4}^2-r^2\sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2+U^{-1}d\rho^2+\frac{\rho^2}{2}(d\theta_1^2+d\theta_2^2)\} \qquad (105)\\
&+ \quad G^{2/3}\{\frac{\rho^2}{2}(s_1^2d\phi_1^2+s_2^2d\phi_2^2)+q(d\psi+j_1)^2+w[d\alpha+f(d\psi+j_1)]^2+r^2\sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2\} \\
&+ \quad G^{2/3}r^2\sin^2\chi_1\{\gamma_3^2[\frac{\rho^2\omega}{4}(2q(s_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2s_2^2)+\rho^2s_1^2s_2^2)d\alpha^2+\frac{1}{4}\rho^4\omega f s_1^2s_2^2 d\alpha d\psi \\
&+ \quad \frac{1}{4}\rho^4(q+\omega f^2)s_1^2s_2^2 d\psi^2] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_2^2[\frac{\rho^2\omega}{4}(2q(s_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2s_2^2)+\rho^2s_1^2s_2^2)d\phi_2^2-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\phi_2 d\psi+\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q s_1^2 d\psi^2] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_1^2[\frac{\rho^2\omega}{4}(2q(s_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2s_2^2)+\rho^2s_1^2s_2^2)d\phi_1^2-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\phi_1 d\psi+\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q s_2^2 d\psi^2] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_1\gamma_2[\frac{\rho^2\omega}{2}(2q(s_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2s_2^2)+\rho^2s_1^2s_2^2)d\phi_1 d\phi_2-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\phi_2 d\psi-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\phi_1 d\psi] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_1\gamma_3[\frac{\rho^2\omega}{2}(2q(s_1^2c_2^2+c_1^2s_2^2)+\rho^2s_1^2s_2^2)d\phi_1 d\alpha+\frac{1}{4}\rho^4\omega f s_1^2s_2^2 d\phi_1 d\psi-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\alpha d\psi]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ \quad \gamma_2\gamma_3[\frac{\rho^2\omega}{2}(2q(s_1^2c_2^2 + c_1^2s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2)d\phi_2 d\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\rho^4\omega f s_1^2 s_2^2 d\phi_2 d\psi - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\alpha d\psi]\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1} &= 1 + r^2\sin^2\chi_1[\gamma_3^2\frac{\rho^2}{4}[2(q+\omega f^2)(s_1^2c_2^2 + c_1^2s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] + \gamma_2^2\frac{\omega}{2}(2qc_1^2 + \rho^2 s_1^2) \\ &+ \gamma_1^2\frac{1}{2}\omega(2qc_2^2 + \rho^2 s_2^2) - 2\gamma_1\gamma_2 q\omega c_1 c_2 + \gamma_1\gamma_3\rho^2\omega f c_1 s_2^2 + \gamma_2\gamma_3\rho^2\omega f c_2 s_1^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

After the deformation the 4-form field can be found using (95) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \hat{F}_4 + d\phi_1\wedge d\psi\wedge d\chi_2\wedge d(G\,[\gamma_3 det\hat{g}(2,3\mid 3,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(2,3\mid 2,4)]) \\ &+ d\phi_2\wedge d\alpha\wedge d\chi_2\wedge d(G\,[\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,4\mid 1,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(1,4\mid 2,4) + \gamma_3 det\hat{g}(1,4\mid 3,4)]) \\ &+ d\phi_1\wedge d\phi_2\wedge d\chi_2\wedge d(G\,[\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,4\mid 3,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(2,4\mid 3,4) + \gamma_3 det\hat{g}(3,4\mid 3,4)]) \\ &+ d\phi_1\wedge d\alpha\wedge d\chi_2\wedge d(G\,[\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,4\mid 2,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(2,4\mid 2,4) + \gamma_3 det\hat{g}(2,4\mid 3,4)]) \\ &+ d\alpha\wedge d\psi\wedge d\chi_2\wedge d(G\,[\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,2\mid 1,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(1,2\mid 2,4)]) \\ &+ d\phi_2\wedge d\psi\wedge d\chi_2\wedge d(G\,[\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,3\mid 1,4) + \gamma_3 det\hat{g}(1,3\mid 3,4)])\,. \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

where necessary determinants are given in (122). Note that there is no contribution to the above from the Hodge dual $*_{11}\hat{F}_4$ since it has no leg in the reduction direction χ_2.

3.1.3 Mixed Deformations

Here we will consider a multiparameter deformation where a combination of dipole and β deformations are applied. Our choice for the reduction coordinate is $z = x^5 = \alpha$. We set $x^1 = \phi_1, x^2 = \phi_2, x^3 = \chi_2, x^4 = \psi, x^5 = \alpha$. Therefore the deformation is obtained by using the 3-tori $\{\alpha, \phi_1, \phi_2\}$, $\{\alpha, \phi_1, \chi_2\}$ and $\{\alpha, \phi_2, \chi_2\}$ and it is a mixed deformation involving two dipole and one β deformation. The R-symmetry direction ψ is not used[6]. The 5-torus matrix is obtained from (104) by interchanging the 3rd and 5th columns and rows:

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^2}{2}s_1^2 + (wf^2+q)c_1^2 & (wf^2+q)c_1c_2 & 0 & -(wf^2+q)c_1 & -wfc_1 \\ . & \frac{\rho^2}{2}s_2^2 + (wf^2+q)c_2^2 & 0 & -(wf^2+q)c_2 & -wfc_2 \\ . & . & r^2\sin^2\chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & (wf^2+q) & wf \\ . & . & . & . & w \end{pmatrix}. \tag{108}$$

The relevant subdeterminants which are non-zero are given in(123). So, we see from (92) that the deformed metric becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{11}^2 &= G^{-1/3}\{ds_{AdS_4}^2 - r^2\sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2 + U^{-1}d\rho^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{2}(d\theta_1^2 + d\theta_2^2)\} \qquad (109) \\ &+ G^{2/3}\{\frac{\rho^2}{2}(s_1^2 d\phi_1^2 + s_2^2 d\phi_2^2) + q(d\psi + j_1)^2 + w[d\alpha + f(d\psi + j_1)]^2 + r^2\sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{\gamma_3^2}{4}\rho^4 q\omega s_1^2 s_2^2 d\psi^2\} \end{aligned}$$

---

[6] Note that there are two more possibilities. Had we chosen $x^1 = \alpha, x^2 = \phi_2, x^3 = \chi_2, x^4 = \psi, x^5 = \phi_1$ we would have a deformation obtained by using the 3-tori $\{\phi_1, \alpha, \phi_2\}$, $\{\phi_1, \alpha, \chi_2\}$ and $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \chi_2\}$. Similarly choosing $x^1 = \phi_1, x^2 = \alpha, x^3 = \chi_2, x^4 = \psi, x^5 = \phi_2$ would give a deformation obtained by using the 3-tori $\{\phi_2, \phi_1, \alpha\}$, $\{\phi_2, \phi_1, \chi_2\}$ and $\{\phi_2, \alpha, \chi_2\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad G^{2/3} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \{ \gamma_3^2 [\frac{\rho^2 \omega}{4} (2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2) d\chi_2^2] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_1^2 [\frac{\rho^2 \omega}{4} (2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2) d\phi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\phi_1 d\psi + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q s_2^2 d\psi^2] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_1 \gamma_2 [\frac{\rho^2 \omega}{2} (2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2) d\phi_1 d\phi_2 - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\phi_2 d\psi - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\phi_1 d\psi] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_2^2 [\frac{\rho^2 \omega}{4} (2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2) d\phi_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\phi_2 d\psi + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q s_1^2 d\psi^2] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_1 \gamma_3 [\frac{\rho^2 \omega}{2} (2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2) d\phi_1 d\chi_2 - \rho^2 \omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\chi_2 d\psi] \\
&+ \quad \gamma_2 \gamma_3 [\frac{\rho^2 \omega}{2} (2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2) d\phi_2 d\chi_2 - \rho^2 \omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\chi_2 d\psi] \},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{-1} &= 1 + \gamma_3^2 \frac{\rho^2 w}{4} [2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] + r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 [\gamma_2^2 \frac{\omega}{2} (2qc_1^2 + \rho^2 s_1^2) \\
&+ \gamma_1^2 \frac{1}{2} \omega (2qc_2^2 + \rho^2 s_2^2) - 2\gamma_1 \gamma_2 q \omega c_1 c_2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_3 \rho^2 \omega f c_1 s_2^2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \rho^2 \omega f c_2 s_1^2]. \qquad (110)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (95) we find the deformed 4-form field $\tilde{\hat{F}}_4$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\hat{F}}_4 &= \hat{F}_4 - (\frac{9q\omega}{4U})^{1/2} \rho^4 s_1 s_2 d\rho \wedge d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge [\gamma_3 d\psi] \\
&+ \gamma_3 d(G\, [\frac{\rho^2 w}{4} (2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2) d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 \wedge d\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q c_1 s_2^2 d\phi_2 \wedge d\psi \wedge d\alpha \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \omega q c_2 s_1^2 d\phi_1 \wedge d\psi \wedge d\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \rho^4 \omega f s_1^2 s_2^2 d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 \wedge d\psi]) \\
&+ d\phi_1 \wedge d\psi \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d(G\, [\gamma_2 det\hat{g}(2,5 \mid 2,4)]) \\
&- d\phi_2 \wedge d\alpha \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d(G\, [\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 1,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 2,4)]) \\
&- d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d(G\, [\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 4,5) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 4,5)]) \\
&+ d\phi_1 \wedge d\alpha \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d(G\, [\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 2,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 2,4)]) \\
&- d\alpha \wedge d\psi \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d(G\, [\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,2 \mid 1,4) - \gamma_2 det\hat{g}(1,2 \mid 2,4)]) \\
&- d\phi_2 \wedge d\psi \wedge d\chi_2 \wedge d(G\, [\gamma_1 det\hat{g}(1,5 \mid 1,4)])\, , \qquad (111)
\end{aligned}
$$

where necessary subdeterminant are given in (123). When $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ the metric (109) and the 4-form (111) of the mixed deformation reduce to those of a single-parameter β deformation (100) and (103) as expected. Similarly, when $\gamma_3 = 0$ the above metric reduces to the metric of 2-parameter dipole deformation (105) as it should. However, in this case single γ_1 and γ_2 terms in (107) and (111) have opposite signs. By sending $\gamma_{1,2} \to -\gamma_{1,2}$ in one of them, they become equal. This is not a surprise, since we are using different orientations for the corresponding 5-tori. In the mixed deformation our order of torus directions is $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \chi_2, \psi, \alpha\}$ whereas in the dipole deformation it is $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \alpha, \psi, \chi_2\}$ and we have $\epsilon^{mnp} = 1$ for $m < n < p$.

4 Conclusions and Discussions

The main results of this paper are equations (25), (29), (92) and (95), along with (30) and (93). These reduce the problem of finding one or multiparameter deformations of a $D = 11$

supergravity background to a simple calculation of some subdeterminants. They can be applied to any 11-dimensional background with 5 $U(1)$ isometries, whose 4-form field has at most one leg along these. Our method works irrespective of how the 5-torus lies in the geometry. However, the torus coordinates should not mix with the others. These conditions are not very restrictive, as they are met by many frequently used M-theory solutions. Moreover, our results can be adopted easily to backgrounds with only four or three $U(1)$ directions, as we showed in (31), (32) and (96). We also explained in section 2.3, through a specific example, how our method is modified, when the condition on the 4-form field is violated. Naturally, our results can be applied to many other interesting backgrounds. For instance, the dipole or multiparameter deformations of the solutions considered in [12, 13] can be obtained easily. We hope that our formulas will be useful in the construction of such new examples, especially for multiparameter deformations.

Although our method works for any M-theory background with 5 $U(1)$ isometries we demonstrated our results with backgrounds of the form $AdS_4 \times M_7$ or $AdS_7 \times M_4$, as they are of obvious interest for the AdS/CFT correspondence. In the first case, the dual field theory can be regarded as a three dimensional field theory arising on the world-volume of coincident M2 branes, or more appropriately, as the IR limit of the field theory on the world-volume of coincident D2 branes, from the IIA perspective. The Sasaki-Einstein manifolds we consider in this paper have two Killing spinors, and hence the dual field theory is an $\mathcal{N}$=2 supersymmetric field theory. They have large isometry groups ($SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$ for the one with the base $S^2 \times S^2$ and $SU(3) \times U(1)^2$ when the base is CP^2), which correspond to the global symmetries of the dual field theory. In each case, the Killing spinors transform as a **2** of one $U(1)$ factor[7] of the isometry group and this corresponds, on the field theory side, to the $U(1)$ R-symmetry, which acts on the supercharges. Therefore, we expect that the dual field theory remains $\mathcal{N}$=2 supersymmetric, as long as this particular $U(1)$ (whose corresponding Killing vector is the Reeb vector) is not involved in the deformation process, which was verified explicitly for our one parameter β deformation case in [13]. Hence, we expect our examples in sections 2.1 and 3.1 to preserve $\mathcal{N}$=2 supersymmetry except the 3-parameter β deformation case discussed in section 3.1.1. This last one should break supersymmetry completely, as any symmetry that leaves one of the Killing spinors invariant should also leave the other invariant (for a general argument, see [13]). For the $AdS_7 \times S^4$ case the dual theory is a six dimensional $\mathcal{N}$=(2,0) supersymmetric CFT [20]. We expect supersymmetry to be preserved in our noncommutative deformation since the $SO(5)$ R-symmetry remains intact, whereas it should be broken in dipole deformations.

An important problem here is to identify the marginal operators (for the β deformations) on the field theory side, that corresponds to our deformations. Let us recall the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ example, whose dual field theory is $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N}$=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. Here, choosing all the deformation directions from the AdS_5 part corresponds to a noncommutative deformation on the field theory side, whereas choosing one direction from the AdS_5 and one from the S^5 results in a dipole deformation. Making analogy with the noncommutative case, Lunin and Maldacena showed that, choosing both $U(1)$'s from the S^5 part should give the duals of β deformations of the $\mathcal{N}$=4 theory. The effect in the Lagrangian is to modify the product of fields charged under the global $U(1) \times U(1)$, just like the noncommutative deformations modify the ordinary product to a star product. This introduces phases in the Lagrangian that depend on the deformation parameter (and all three parameters for the 3-parameter case, see [19]). This argument does not carry over directly to the 3 dimensional CFTs [13], that arise as duals of the $AdS_4 \times M_7$ backgrounds, although some steps have been taken in this direction [30]. An alternative way to find the marginal operators for the dual field theory is to notice that the deformation, for small values of the deformation parameter, corresponds to turning on a massless mode in the Kaluza-Klein spectrum of the undeformed background. Then the dual operator should be of dimension d, where $d = 4$ for the AdS_5 case and $d = 3$ for the AdS_4. Combining this with the fact that it has to belong to a short-multiplet and break the global symmetry group to $U(1)^3$ (which is because the isometry group is broken to $U(1)^3$ on the gravity side), one can identify the marginal operator in question. In this way, Lunin and Maldacena determined the marginal operator, which should correspond to the β deformation of $AdS_4 \times S^7$ [1]. Similarly, [13] proposed the operators corresponding to the deformations of the Sasaki-Einstein manifolds $M(3,2)$ and $Q(1,1,1)$. These two Sasaki-Einstein manifolds are special in that they have proposals for their field theory duals [31]. We expect that similar arguments can be made for the Sasaki-Einstein manifolds we consider here, once their field theory duals (before deformation) is understood better. Recently, there have been important developments in this direction [32]. The duals of the mixed deformations would be especially interesting to study, since they have not been analyzed before elsewhere.

---

[7] Here we identify $U(1) \sim SO(2)$.

There are two straightforward generalizations of our results. One is to consider geometries with more than five decoupled $U(1)$ directions or allow some coordinates to mix with these five $U(1)$'s. Another is to construct deformations with more than 3 parameters. Actually, as we saw when the number of $U(1)$ directions is n, there can be $n!/6(n-3)!$ parameters. Sometimes one can have even supersymmetric deformations with more than 3 parameters. For example, in the mixed deformation case above, it is possible to have a 4-parameter deformation without using the R-symmetry direction.

It would also be interesting to study giant gravitons [33] on our new backgrounds. Giants on 10-dimensional β deformed solutions were analyzed in [34, 35, 36, 37]. It is desirable to extend these to $D = 11$ and to other types of deformations, which we aim to study in the near future.

Acknowledgements

NSD is partially supported by Turkish Academy of Sciences via The Young Scientists Award Program (TÜBA- GEBİP). He also wishes to thank the Abdus Salam ICTP for hospitality where some part of this paper was written.

A Proof I

Here we will prove the equivalence of (24) and (25). First let us compare the second terms by using the following fact: If we make a dimensional reduction from $(D+1)$ to D dimensions by using the ansatz

$$ds^2_{D+1} = e^{2\alpha\phi} ds^2_D + e^{2\beta\phi}(dz + A)^2 \, ,$$

then for an $n-$form X_n we have the following relations [38]:

$$\star_{(D+1)} X_n = e^{[(D-2n)\alpha+\beta]\phi}(-1)^n \star_D X_n \wedge (dz + A), \tag{112}$$

$$\star_{(D+1)}[X_n \wedge (dz + A)] = e^{[(D-2n)\alpha-\beta]\phi} \star_D X_n, \tag{113}$$

where $\star_{(D+1)}$ and $\star_D$ denote the Hodge duals taken in $D+1$ and D dimensions, respectively. In our case $D=10, n=4, \alpha=-1/3$ and $\beta=2/3$. Therefore, we have

$$\star_{11} F_4 = \star_{10} F_4 \wedge (dz + A).$$

As a result we see that

$$i_{\partial/\partial z} \star_{11} F_4 = \star_{10} F_4.$$

On the other hand, it easily follows from (113) that $i_{\partial/\partial z} \star_{11} [F_3 \wedge (dz+A)] = 0$. Thus,

$$i_{\partial/\partial z} \star_{11} \hat{F}_4 = i_{\partial/\partial z} \star_{11} [F_4 + F_3 \wedge (dz + A)] = \star_{10} F_4.$$

This shows the equality of the second terms in (24) and (25).

Now we compare the third terms of (24) and (25). There are 10 terms to be compared. The equality of the coefficients of the 6 of these terms which are of the form $dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dz$ is obvious. From (24) we see that the coefficients are $d\tilde{B}_{ij}$ and reading these terms from (17) we can directly observe the equality. Now let us look at the coefficients of the $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$ terms. From (17), (24) and (28) we read the coefficient as

$$\gamma G[det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4)A_3 - det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 3,4)A_2 + det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 3,4)A_1]. \tag{114}$$

Using the fact that $A_i = \hat{g}_{iz}/\hat{g}_{zz}$ (this can be seen directly from (11)) one can make the following observation: If we subtracted from (114) the term $\gamma G[det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid z,4)]$ then the result would be γG times the determinant of a new matrix, say K, obtained from $\hat{g}(4 \mid 3)$ by replacing its third row by the row $\{\hat{g}_{1z}/\hat{g}_{zz}, \hat{g}_{2z}/\hat{g}_{zz}, \hat{g}_{3z}/\hat{g}_{zz}, 1\}$. It is easy to see that $detK = 0$ as its third row is a $1/\hat{g}_{zz}$ multiple of its fourth row. Therefore we conclude that (114) is equal to $\gamma G(det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid z,4))$, which is exactly the term that is given by the third term in the formula (25).

One can also show that the coefficients of the terms $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_4$, $dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4$ and $dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4$ which are read from (24) and (25) are equal by using arguments similar to the above.

B Proof II

Here we will prove equation (28). To do this we define a new matrix $\hat{g}'$ as the 5×5 matrix with entries $(\hat{g}')_{ij} = e^{-2/3\phi}(g)_{ij} = (\hat{g}_{zz})^{-1/2}(g)_{ij}$, $(\hat{g}')_{i5} = (\hat{g}')_{5i} = 0$, $i,j = 1,2,3,4$ and $(\hat{g}')_{55} = e^{4/3\phi} = \hat{g}_{zz}$. This new matrix $\hat{g}'$ and the original 5×5 matrix $\hat{g}$ with entries $(\hat{g})_{ab} = \hat{g}_{ab}$, $a,b = 1,2,3,4,z$ are related under the following transformation

$$\hat{g} = S^T \; \hat{g}' \; S, \tag{115}$$

where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{116}$$

where A_i are the functions appearing in $A = A_i dx^i, i = 1,2,3,4$. This can be seen directly from (11). Therefore, $det(\hat{g}) = det(S)^2 det(\hat{g}') = det(\hat{g}')$. Similarly one can show that

$$\hat{g}(i \mid j) = S^T(j \mid j) \; \hat{g}'(i \mid j) \; S(i \mid i), \tag{117}$$

$$\hat{g}(i,j \mid k,l) = S^T(k,l \mid k,l) \; \hat{g}'(i,j \mid k,l) \; S(i,j \mid i,j), \tag{118}$$

which implies

$$det\hat{g}(i \mid j) = det\hat{g}'(i \mid j), \qquad det\hat{g}(i,j \mid k,l) = det\hat{g}'(i,j \mid k,l). \tag{119}$$

On the other hand, from the the way we have defined the matrix $\hat{g}'$ (115) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} det\hat{g}'(i \mid j) &= \hat{g}_{zz}(\hat{g}_{zz})^{-3/2} detg(i \mid j) = (\hat{g}_{zz})^{-1/2} detg(i \mid j)\,, \\ det\hat{g}'(i,j \mid k,l) &= \hat{g}_{zz}(\hat{g}_{zz})^{-1} detg(i,j \mid k,l) = detg(i,j \mid k,l), \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

where we have used $e^{-2/3\phi} = (\hat{g}_{zz})^{-1/2}$ and $\hat{g}'(i \mid j)$ and $\hat{g}'(i,j \mid k,l)$ are 3×3 and 2×2 matrices, respectively. (119) and (120) together prove (28).

C Subdeterminants

The relevant non-zero subdeterminants of the matrix (99) are:

$$\begin{aligned} det\hat{g}(4 \mid 4) &= \frac{\omega q \rho^4 s_1^2 s_2^2}{4} \\ det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) &= \frac{1}{4}\rho^2 r^2 \omega \sin^2\chi_1 [2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + s_2^2 c_1^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] \\ det\hat{g}(2 \mid 2) &= \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 r^2 \omega q \sin^2\chi_1 s_1^2 \\ det\hat{g}(1 \mid 1) &= \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 r^2 \omega q \sin^2\chi_1 s_2^2 \\ det\hat{g}(1 \mid 3) &= \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 r^2 \omega q \sin^2\chi_1 c_1 s_2^2 \\ det\hat{g}(2 \mid 3) &= -\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 r^2 \omega q \sin^2\chi_1 c_2 s_1^2 \\ det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{\rho^2\omega}{4}[2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] \\ det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 2,4) &= \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \omega q s_1^2 \\ det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 1,4) &= \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \omega q s_2^2 \\ det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \omega q c_1 s_2^2 \\ det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 3,4) &= -\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \omega q c_2 s_1^2 \\ det\hat{g}(4,5 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{1}{4}\rho^4 \omega f s_1^2 s_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

The relevant non-zero subdeterminants of the matrix (104) are:

$$\begin{aligned} det\hat{g}(4 \mid 4) &= \frac{1}{4} r^2 \sin^2\chi_1 \rho^2 \omega [2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] \\ det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) &= \frac{1}{4} r^2 \sin^2\chi_1 \rho^4 (q + \omega f^2) s_1^2 s_2^2 \\ det\hat{g}(2 \mid 2) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2\chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q s_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
det\hat{g}(1 \mid 1) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1 \mid 4) &= -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q c_1 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(2 \mid 4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q c_2 s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(3 \mid 4) &= \frac{1}{4} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^4 \omega f s_1^2 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{1}{4} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 [2(q+\omega f^2)(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] \\
det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 2,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \omega (2qc_1^2 + \rho^2 s_1^2) \\
det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 1,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \omega (2qc_2^2 + \rho^2 s_2^2) \\
det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f c_1 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 3,4) &= -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f c_2 s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 2,4) &= r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 q \omega c_1 c_2 \\
det\hat{g}(1,3 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 (q+\omega f^2) c_1 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(2,3 \mid 3,4) &= -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 (q+\omega f^2) c_2 s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1,2 \mid 2,4) &= r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 q \omega c_1 \\
det\hat{g}(1,2 \mid 1,4) &= r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 q \omega c_2 \\
det\hat{g}(2,3 \mid 2,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1,3 \mid 1,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f s_2^2 .
\end{aligned}
\tag{122}
$$

The relevant non-zero subdeterminants of the matrix (108) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
det\hat{g}(4 \mid 4) &= \frac{\rho^2 \omega}{4} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 [2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] \\
det\hat{g}(3 \mid 3) &= \frac{1}{4} \rho^4 q \omega s_1^2 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(2 \mid 2) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1 \mid 1) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1 \mid 4) &= -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q c_1 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(2 \mid 4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega q c_2 s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(3,4 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{\rho^2 w}{4} [2q(s_1^2 c_2^2 + c_1^2 s_2^2) + \rho^2 s_1^2 s_2^2] \\
det\hat{g}(2,4 \mid 2,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \omega (2qc_1^2 + \rho^2 s_1^2) \\
det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 1,4) &= \frac{1}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \omega (2qc_2^2 + \rho^2 s_2^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
det\hat{g}(1,4 \mid 2,4) &= r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 q\omega c_1 c_2 \\
det\hat{g}(1,3 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 q\omega c_1 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(2,3 \mid 3,4) &= -\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 q\omega c_2 s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1,2 \mid 2,4) &= r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 q\omega c_1 \\
det\hat{g}(1,2 \mid 1,4) &= r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 q\omega c_2 \\
det\hat{g}(4,5 \mid 1,4) &= -\frac{1}{2}r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f c_1 s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(4,5 \mid 2,4) &= \frac{1}{2}r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f c_2 s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(2,5 \mid 2,4) &= \frac{1}{2}r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f s_1^2 \\
det\hat{g}(1,5 \mid 1,4) &= \frac{1}{2}r^2 \sin^2 \chi_1 \rho^2 \omega f s_2^2 \\
det\hat{g}(3,5 \mid 3,4) &= \frac{1}{4}\rho^4 \omega f s_1^2 s_2^2 \,. \qquad (123)
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] O. Lunin and J. Maldacena, *Deforming field theories with $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry and their gravity duals*, JHEP 0505 (2005) 033, hep-th/0502086.

[2] R.G. Leigh and M.J. Strassler, *Exactly Marginal Operators and Duality in Four Dimensional N=1 Supersymmetric Gauge Theory*, Nucl.Phys. B447 (1995) 95, hep-th/9503121.

[3] A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, *Target Space Duality in String Theory*, Phys.Rept.244, 77 (1994), hep-th/9401139.

[4] A. Catal-Ozer, *Lunin-Maldacena Deformations With Three Parameters*, JHEP 0602 (2006) 026, hep-th/0512290.

[5] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and D. Waldram, *Supersymmetric AdS_5 solutions of M-theory*, Class.Quant.Grav. 21 (2004) 4335, hep-th/0402153.

[6] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J.F. Sparks and D. Waldram, *A New Infinite Class of Sasaki-Einstein Manifolds*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 8 (2004) 987, hep-th/0403038.

[7] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and D. Waldram, *Supersymmetric AdS Backgrounds in String and M-theory*, hep-th/0411194.

[8] W. Chen, H. Lu, C.N. Pope and J.F. Vazquez-Poritz, *A Note on Einstein Sasaki Metrics in $D \geq 7$*, Class.Quant.Grav. 22 (2005) 3421, hep-th/0411218.

[9] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, D.N. Page and C.N. Pope, *New Einstein-Sasaki Spaces in Five and Higher Dimensions*, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95 (2005) 071101, hep-th/0504225.

[10] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, D.N. Page, C.N. Pope, *New Einstein-Sasaki and Einstein Spaces from Kerr-de Sitter* hep-th/0505223.

[11] H. Lu, C.N. Pope and J.F. Vazquez-Poritz, *A New Construction of Einstein-Sasaki Metrics in D >= 7*, Phys.Rev.D75 (2007) 026005, hep-th/0512306.

[12] C. Ahn and J.F. Vazquez-Poritz, *Marginal Deformations with $U(1)^3$ Global Symmetry*, JHEP 0507 (2005) 032, hep-th/0505168.

[13] J.P. Gauntlett, S. Lee, T. Mateos and D. Waldram, *Marginal Deformations of Field Theories with AdS_4 Duals*, JHEP 0508 (2005) 030, hep-th/0505207.

[14] M.J. Duff and K.S. Stelle, *Multimembrane solutions of $D = 11$ supergravity*, Phys.Lett. B253 (1991) 113.

[15] R. Güven, *Black p-brane solutions of $D = 11$ supergravity theory*, Phys.Lett. B276 (1992) 49.

[16] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Supergravity Theory in Eleven-Dimensions*, Phys.Lett. B76 (1978) 409.

[17] D.S. Berman and L.C. Tadrowski, *M-Theory Brane Deformations*, Nucl.Phys.B795 (2008) 201, arXiv:0709.3059.

[18] E. Imeroni, *On deformed gauge theories and their string/M-theory duals*, JHEP 0810 (2008) 026, arXiv:0808.1271.

[19] S. Frolov, *Lax Pair for Strings in Lunin-Maldacena Background*, JHEP 0505 (2005) 069, hep-th/0503201.

[20] J.M. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, Adv. Theor.Math.Phys. 2 (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.

[21] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge Theory Correlators from Non-Critical String Theory*, Phys.Lett.B428 (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.

[22] E. Witten, *Anti De Sitter Space And Holography*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 2 (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.

[23] A. Giveon and M. Rocek, *Generalized Duality in Curved String-Backgrounds*, Nucl.Phys. B380 (1992) 128, hep-th/9112070.

[24] E. Bergshoeff, C.M. Hull and T. Ortin, *Duality in the Type–II Superstring Effective Action*, Nucl.Phys. B451 (1995) 547, hep-th/9504081.

[25] M. Fukuma, T. Oota and H. Tanaka, *Comments on T-dualities of Ramond-Ramond Potentials*, Prog.Theor.Phys.103:425,2000, hep-th/9907132

[26] S. F. Hassan, *T-Duality, Space-time Spinors and R-R Fields in Curved Backgrounds*, Nucl.Phys. B568 (2000) 145, hep-th/9907152.

[27] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, C.N. Pope and K.S. Stelle, *T-Duality in the Green-Schwarz Formalism, and the Massless/Massive IIA Duality Map*, Nucl.Phys.B573 (2000) 149, hep-th/9907202.

[28] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu and C.N. Pope, *Dualisation of Dualities. II: Twisted Self-Duality of Doubled Fields and Duperdualities*, Nucl.Phys. B535, 242 (1998), hep-th/9806106.

[29] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu and C.N. Pope, *Dualisation of Dualities, I*, Nucl.Phys. B523 (1998) 73, hep-th/9710119.

[30] D.S. Berman, L.C. Tadrowski and D.C. Thompson, *Aspects of Multiple Membranes*, Nucl.Phys.B802 (2008) 106, arXiv:0803.3611.

[31] D. Fabbri, P. Fre', L. Gualtieri, C. Reina, A. Tomasiello, A. Zaffaroni and A. Zampa, *3D superconformal theories from Sasakian seven-manifolds: New nontrivial evidences for AdS(4)/CFT(3)*, Nucl.Phys.B577 (2000) 547, arXiv:hep-th/9907219.

[32] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, *Notes on toric Sasaki-Einstein seven-manifolds and* AdS_4/CFT_3, JHEP0811:016,2008, arXiv:0808.0904.

[33] J. McGreevy, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, *Invasion of the Giant Gravitons from Anti-de Sitter Space*, JHEP 0006 (2000) 008, hep-th/0003075.

[34] M. Pirrone, *Giants On Deformed Backgrounds*, JHEP 0612 (2006) 064, hep-th/0609173.

[35] E. Imeroni and A. Naqvi, *Giants and loops in beta-deformed theories*, JHEP 0703 (2007) 034, hep-th/0612032.

[36] A. Butti, D. Forcella, L. Martucci, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. Zaffaroni, *On the geometry and the moduli space of beta-deformed quiver gauge theories*, JHEP 0807 (2008) 053, arXiv:0712.1215.

[37] M. Pirrone, *Giants on Deformed Backgrounds Part II: The Gauge Field Fluctuations*, JHEP 0803 (2008) 034, arXiv:0801.2540.

[38] I.V. Lavrinenko, H. Lu and C.N. Pope, *Fibre Bundles and Generalised Dimensional Reduction*, Class.Quant.Grav. 15 (1998) 2239, hep-th/9710243.